



OFFICE OF THE  
**DISTRICT ATTORNEY**  
ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA  

---

TODD SPITZER

October 5, 2021

Deputy Justin Dorn  
Orange County Sheriff's Department  
550 N. Flower Street  
Santa Ana, CA 92703

RE: Deputy Justin Dorn #8783  
*Brady* Determination

Dear Deputy Dorn:

Please be advised that our office has made a final decision regarding your alleged conduct, which we were notified of by Commander Margie Sheehan in her letter dated June 15, 2021. Specifically, we have reached the decision that your conduct qualifies as potential *Brady* material pursuant to the mandate of *Brady v. Maryland* (1963) 474 U.S. 83 and its progeny. As the materials are contained in your personnel file, we will be proceeding by way of a notification to the defense under Evidence Code section 1043. As always, if you wish to present any information or material to our office regarding this matter, please contact me and we can schedule a convenient time for you or your representative to meet with our office.

Sincerely,

**Barbara K. Kim**  
Assistant District Attorney  
Supervising Head of Court - Special Prosecutions Unit  
Barbara.Kim@da.ocgov.com  
714-347-8601

REPLY TO: ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

WEB PAGE: <http://orangecountyda.org/>

☒ MAIN OFFICE  
300 N. FLOWER ST.  
SANTA ANA, CA 92703  
PO. BOX 808 (92702)  
(714) 834-3600

☐ NORTH OFFICE  
1275 N. BERKELEY AVE.  
FULLERTON, CA 92832  
(714) 773-4480

☐ WEST OFFICE  
8141 13<sup>TH</sup> STREET  
WESTMINSTER, CA 92683  
(714) 895-7261

☐ HARBOR OFFICE  
4601 JAMBOREE RD.  
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660  
(949) 476-4650

☐ JUVENILE OFFICE  
341 CITY DRIVE SOUTH  
ORANGE, CA 92668  
(714) 835-7624

☐ CENTRAL OFFICE  
300 N. FLOWER ST.  
SANTA ANA, CA 92703  
PO. BOX 808 (92702)  
(714) 834-3952



OFFICE OF THE  
**DISTRICT ATTORNEY**  
ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA  

---

TODD SPITZER

October 5, 2021

Deputy Daniel Castillo  
Orange County Sheriff's Department  
550 N. Flower Street  
Santa Ana, CA 92703

RE: Deputy Daniel Castillo #9110  
*Brady* Determination

Dear Deputy Castillo:

Please be advised that our office has made a final decision regarding your alleged conduct, which we were notified of by Commander Margie Sheehan in her letter dated June 15, 2021. Specifically, we have reached the decision that your conduct qualifies as potential *Brady* material pursuant to the mandate of *Brady v. Maryland* (1963) 474 U.S. 83 and its progeny. As the materials are contained in your personnel file, we will be proceeding by way of a notification to the defense under Evidence Code section 1043. As always, if you wish to present any information or material to our office regarding this matter, please contact me and we can schedule a convenient time for you or your representative to meet with our office.

Sincerely,

**Barbara K. Kim**  
Assistant District Attorney  
Supervising Head of Court - Special Prosecutions Unit  
Barbara.Kim@da.ocgov.com  
714-347-8601

REPLY TO: ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

WEB PAGE: <http://orangecountyda.org/>

☒ MAIN OFFICE  
300 N. FLOWER ST.  
SANTA ANA, CA 92703  
PO. BOX 808 (92702)  
(714) 834-3600

☐ NORTH OFFICE  
1275 N. BERKELEY AVE.  
FULLERTON, CA 92632  
(714) 773-4480

☐ WEST OFFICE  
8141 13<sup>TH</sup> STREET  
WESTMINSTER, CA 92683  
(714) 896-7261

☐ HARBOR OFFICE  
4601 JAMBOREE RD.  
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660  
(949) 476-4650

☐ JUVENILE OFFICE  
341 CITY DRIVE SOUTH  
ORANGE, CA 92668  
(714) 835-7624

☐ CENTRAL OFFICE  
300 N. FLOWER ST.  
SANTA ANA, CA 92703  
PO. BOX 808 (92702)  
(714) 834-3952



# ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

16-083  
SHERIFF-CORONER DON BARNES

June 15, 2021

CONFIDENTIAL

Barbara Kim, Assistant District Attorney  
Orange County District Attorney's Office  
300 N. Flower St.  
3rd Floor  
Santa Ana, CA 92703

Dear Ms. Kim,

The Sheriff-Coroner Department hereby notifies you that the following Department employee's personnel records contain information that may be subject to disclosure pursuant to Brady v. Maryland (1963) 373 U.S. 83 and its progeny:

Name: Justin Dorn

Job Position/Title: Deputy

Date of earliest conduct: 5-12-2016

As the potentially discoverable information is contained in personnel records, the Department asserts that any attempt to gain access to such information must be by way of a motion filed pursuant to Evidence Code Section 1043 for sworn employees, or a subpoena for professional employees.

If you have any questions, please contact the Commander of the SAFE Division at (714) 834-2303.

Sincerely,

---

Margie Sheehan

Commander, SAFE Division



# ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

16-083

SHERIFF-CORONER DON BARNES

June 15, 2021

CONFIDENTIAL

Barbara Kim, Assistant District Attorney  
Orange County District Attorney's Office  
300 N. Flower St.  
3rd Floor  
Santa Ana, CA 92703

Dear Ms. Kim,

The Sheriff-Coroner Department hereby notifies you that the following Department employee's personnel records contain information that may be subject to disclosure pursuant to Brady v. Maryland (1963) 373 U.S. 83 and its progeny:

Name: Daniel Castillo

Job Position/Title: Investigator

Date of earliest conduct: 5-12-2016

As the potentially discoverable information is contained in personnel records, the Department asserts that any attempt to gain access to such information must be by way of a motion filed pursuant to Evidence Code Section 1043 for sworn employees, or a subpoena for professional employees.

If you have any questions, please contact the Commander of the SAFE Division at (714) 834-2303.

Sincerely,

---

Margie Sheehan

Commander, SAFE Division

**Internal Investigation  
Administrative Worksheet  
PI# 16-083**

Principal(s): Deputy Sheriff Justin Dorn #8783

Complainant(s): Administration

Facility/Location: Central Mens Jail

**Disposition:**

1. OCSD Policy Manual Section 1018.1 – Standard of Conduct

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

2. OCSD Policy Manual Section 1018.5 – Performance of Duty

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

3. OCSD Policy Manual Section 1018.6- Obedience to Laws and Regulations

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

4. OCSD Policy Manual Section 300.2- Use of Force policy

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

5. OCSD Policy Manual Section 300.6- Post Use of Force Procedures

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

6. OCSD Policy Manual Section 300.10- Immediate Notification to Supervisors

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☒ Not Sustained / ☐ Sustained

7. OCSD Policy Manual Section 1018.27 Untruthfulness

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☒ Not Sustained / ☐ Sustained

☐ Administrative Leave

Discipline:

☐ Written Reprimand

☒ Suspension (# of hours 40)

☐ Demotion (to rank of \_\_\_\_\_)

☐ Dismissal

Comments / Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Administrative Disposition by: \_\_\_\_\_

Captain C. Copcock  
CAPTAIN C. COPPOCK

Date: 3/29/17

Jon J. Buzze 3-29-17

Date: 5-1-17

Administrative Appeal Hearing

Time: 2 PM

In Attendance: LT. DAVIS, SGT. TAYLOR, ROBERT BAUMANN, JUSTIN DAVIS

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Disposition: 24 HOURS SUSPENSION, HOLD 16 HOURS IN ABEYANCE

Disposition by: WILLIAM BAKER, COMMANDER Date: 5-2-17

W-L/AL

**Internal Investigation  
Administrative Worksheet  
PI# 16-083**

**Principal(s):** Deputy Sheriff Daniel Castillo #9110

**Complainant(s):** Administration

**Facility/Location:** Central Mens Jail

**Disposition:**

1. OCSD Policy Manual Section 1018.1 – Standard of Conduct

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

2. OCSD Policy Manual Section 1018.5 – Performance of Duty

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

3. OCSD Policy Manual Section 1018.6- Obedience to Laws and Regulations

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

4. OCSD Policy Manual Section 300.2- Use of Force policy

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

5. OCSD Policy Manual Section 300.6- Post Use of Force Procedures

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

6. OCSD Policy Manual Section 300.9- Witness to a Use of Force

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☐ Not Sustained / ☒ Sustained

7. OCSD Policy Manual Section 300.10- Immediate Notification to Supervisors

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☒ Not Sustained / ☐ Sustained

8. OCSD Policy Manual Section 1018.27 Untruthfulness

☐ Unfounded / ☐ No Further Action / ☐ Exonerated / ☒ Not Sustained / ☐ Sustained


☐ Administrative Leave

**Discipline:**

☐ Written Reprimand      ☒ Suspension (# of hours 12)  
☐ Demotion (to rank of \_\_\_\_\_)      ☐ Dismissal

**Comments / Other:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Administrative Disposition by:** \_\_\_\_\_



**Date:**

3/29/17

**CAPTAIN C. COPPOCK**

---

---

**Administrative Appeal Hearing**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

In Attendance: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Disposition: \_\_\_\_\_

Disposition by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_





# ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

550 N. FLOWER STREET  
SANTA ANA, CA 92703  
714-647-7000  
WWW.OCSD.ORG

SHERIFF-CORONER  
SANDRA HUTCHENS

## NOTICE OF SUSPENSION PI# 16-083

Daniel Castillo #9110  
Central Men's Jail  
Custody and Court Operations

You are hereby notified that the Orange County Sheriff-Coroner Department ("OCSD") is suspending you without pay from your position of Deputy Sheriff I, for a period of twelve (12) working hours. The suspension will be served in its entirety over consecutive days and completed within one pay-period. Pursuant to Article IX, Section 3, of the County of Orange Memorandum of Understanding for the Peace Officer Unit, and the Orange County Sheriff's Department Policy Manual 340.2 (a) 2, you are suspended for violating the following:

### **OCSD Policy 1018.1 Standard of Conduct**

(a) Members shall conduct their private and professional lives in such a manner as to avoid bringing discredit upon themselves or the department.

### **OCSD Policy 1018.5 Performance of Duty**

Members shall perform their duties as required or directed by law, department rules/regulations, procedures, policies, or by order of a superior officer. All lawful duties required by competent authority shall be performed promptly as directed.

### **1018.6 Obedience to Laws and Regulations**

(a) Members shall observe and obey all laws and ordinances, all rules/regulations, procedures and policies of the department and all orders of the department or commands thereof. In the event of improper action or breach of discipline, it will be presumed that the member was familiar with the law, rule/regulation, procedure or policy in question.

### **OCSD Policy 300.2 Use of Force**

It is the policy of this department that deputies shall use only that amount of force that appears objectively reasonable, given the facts and circumstances perceived by the deputy at the time of the event, to effectively bring an incident under control. "Reasonableness" of the force used must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable deputy on the scene at the time of the incident. Any interpretation of reasonableness must allow for the fact the sheriff's deputies are often forced to make split-second decisions in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving about the amount of force that is objectively reasonable in a particular situation.

Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation a deputy might encounter in the field, it is recognized that each deputy must be entrusted with well-reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident. While it is the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter to minimize injury to everyone involved, nothing in this policy requires a deputy to actually sustain physical injury before applying reasonable force. Law enforcement personnel shall use no more force than is objectively reasonable to accomplish lawful objectives.

The use of any force to accomplish unlawful objectives is prohibited. The Department will not tolerate excessive and/or punitive force.

**OCSD Policy 300.6 Post Use Of Force Procedures**


Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented by that member promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report depending on the nature of the incident. The department member shall also complete the "Use of Force" statistical summary. A DR number will be required for the Use of Force Statistical Summary.

When documenting a Use of Force event, Department personnel may review OCSD audio/video recordings (e.g. PVS, jail facility cameras) as a means of ensuring factual accuracy and enhancing recollection. Use of such materials in preparation of reports shall be documented, and done on an individual rather than collective basis


**OCSD Policy 300.9 Witness To A Use Of Force**

Any employee involved in or who witnesses a use of force shall notify a supervisor as soon as practical. Failure to notify a supervisor of a use of force may result in discipline pursuant to department policy. Such notification shall be made as soon as practical

In the letter entitled "Notice of Pending Suspension" that you were provided, the specifics of the above listed violations were noted. In addition, you were provided your right to request a Due Process Review (Skelly Meeting) of this matter, which you did not exercise. You have the right to appeal this matter, pursuant to Article IX, Section 3C and Article X, Sections 7 and 8 of the Memorandum of Understanding, County of Orange and the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriff's for the Peace Officer and Supervising Peace Officer Unit.

  
Cindi Coppock, Captain  
Custody Operations

5-9-17  
Date

  
Daniel Castillo #9110, Deputy Sheriff I

05-10-17  
Date



# ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

550 N. FLOWER STREET  
SANTA ANA, CA 92703  
714-647-7000  
WWW.OCSD.ORG

SHERIFF-CORONER  
SANDRA HUTCHENS

## NOTICE OF PENDING SUSPENSION PI# 16-083

Daniel Castillo #9110  
Central Men's Jail  
Custody Operations

You are hereby notified that the Orange County Sheriff-Coroner Department ("OCSD") intends to suspend you without pay from your position of Deputy I, for a period of twelve (12) working hours. Your suspension shall be served in its entirety over consecutive days and will be completed within one pay period. Pursuant to Article IX, Section 3, of the County of Orange Memorandum of Understanding for the Peace Officer Unit, and the Orange County Sheriff's Department Policy Manual 340.2 (a) 2, you will be suspended for violating the following:

### **OCSD Policy 1018.1 Standard of Conduct**

Members shall conduct their private and professional lives in such a manner as to avoid bringing discredit upon themselves or the department

### **OCSD Policy 1018.5 Performance of Duty**

Members shall perform their duties as required or directed by law, department rules/Regulations, procedures, policies, or by order of a superior officer. All lawful duties required by competent authority shall be performed promptly as directed.

### **OCSD Policy 1018.6 Obedience To Laws and Regulations**

(a) Members shall observe and obey all laws and ordinances, all rules/regulations, procedures and policies of the department and all orders of the department or commands thereof. In the event of improper action or breach of discipline, it will be presumed that the member was familiar with the law, rule/regulation, procedure or policy in question.

### **OCSD Policy 300.2 Use of Force**

It is the policy of this department that deputies shall use only that amount of force that appears objectively reasonable, given the facts and circumstances perceived by the deputy at the time of the event, to effectively bring an incident under control. "Reasonableness" of the force used must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable deputy on the scene at the time of the incident. Any interpretation of reasonableness must allow for the fact the sheriff's deputies are often forced to make split-second decisions in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving about the amount of force that is objectively reasonable in a particular situation. Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation a deputy might encounter in the field, it is recognized that each deputy must be entrusted with well reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident. While it is the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter to minimize injury to everyone involved, nothing in this policy requires a

deputy to actually sustain physical injury before applying reasonable force. Law enforcement personnel shall use no more force than is objectively reasonable to accomplish lawful objectives.

The use of any force to accomplish unlawful objectives is prohibited. The Department will not tolerate excessive and/or punitive force.

**OCSD Policy 300.6 Post Use Of Force Procedures**

Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented by that member promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report depending on the nature of the incident. The department member shall also complete the "Use of Force" statistical summary. A DR number will be required for the Use of Force Statistical Summary.

When documenting a Use of Force event, Department personnel may review OCSD audio/video recordings (e.g. PVS, jail facility cameras) as a means of ensuring factual accuracy and enhancing recollection. Use of such materials in preparation of reports shall be documented, and done on an individual rather than collective basis

**OCSD Policy 300.9 Witness To A Use Of Force**

Any employee involved in or who witnesses a use of force shall notify a supervisor as soon as practical. Failure to notify a supervisor of a use of force may result in discipline pursuant to department policy. Such notification shall be made as soon as practical

On July 26, 2016 at the direction of Commander J. Briggs, Internal Affairs initiated a personnel investigation into your on-duty actions. It was alleged that you were present when excessive force was used during an encounter with an inmate, you failed to write a report documenting the event and failed to convey to your supervisor all relative information in regards to the incident. This incident was brought to the attention of supervisors at the Central Men's Jail when the inmate, [REDACTED] (OCN [REDACTED]) submitted a grievance alleging his shirt was ripped from him during a search, that he was purposely tripped when escorted out of the module vestibule and was injured as a result of handcuffs being placed on him too tight.

On October 10, 2016 you were interviewed by Sergeant C. Taylor and Sergeant T. Graham reference the investigation. Also present at your request was Representative D. Goldwasser. In your interview you watched video surveillance of the event and said you recalled being present with Deputy Dorn and Sergeant [REDACTED] during a discipline hearing that took place in the Module C vestibule. During that hearing [REDACTED] was arguing the definition of contraband and referred to staff as "fucking pigs." After Sergeant [REDACTED] left, you and Deputy Dorn decided to conduct a search of [REDACTED] person as well as his cell.

You watched as Deputy Dorn conducted a search of [REDACTED]. During this search Deputy Dorn kicked [REDACTED] feet apart and pinned him against the wall. Sergeant Taylor asked you if it was reasonable for Deputy Dorn to have [REDACTED] feet spread so wide apart that he was unable to support himself. You said it was reasonable because it minimized [REDACTED] ability to turn on Deputy Dorn. Sergeant Taylor confirmed that you were on patrol training at the time and you said you were.

Sergeant Taylor asked you if you searched persons in the field in the same manner and you quickly said, "No" then admitted you did not search people with their feet spread that far apart.

You defined [REDACTED] as "passively resisting" and refusing to comply with directives. You told Sergeant Taylor that [REDACTED] was eventually placed in handcuffs due to his demeanor, but that [REDACTED] demeanor did not rise to the level of notifying a supervisor. Eventually [REDACTED] sat on the vestibule ground while you and Deputy Dorn searched his cell. About sixteen (16) minutes passed before you returned with Deputy Dorn to the vestibule, where you guys had a brief discussion with [REDACTED]. After this brief discussion, Deputy Dorn decided to search [REDACTED] a second time.

During this search Deputy Dorn again pins [REDACTED] against the wall while spreading his feet apart into an extremely wide stance. At one point during the video it appears [REDACTED] slides down the wall because he is unable to support his own weight due to the stance he is forced to take. The video shows you holding [REDACTED] against the wall while Deputy Dorn retrieves something from the Module office to cut off [REDACTED] clothing. When Deputy Dorn returns he can be seen using a stepping motion to push down a pair of extra jail issue underwear [REDACTED] is wearing. Deputy Dorn then pulls [REDACTED] right leg into the air, this pulling motion cause [REDACTED] right leg to extend outward. Deputy Dorn then removes a white object from [REDACTED] leg, which is believed to be the extra jail issue underwear. The pulling motion causes [REDACTED] body to come off the wall, so Deputy Dorn immediately pushes [REDACTED] back against the wall, pinning him against the wall with his arm.

[REDACTED] was escorted out of the Module vestibule and fell to the ground where you and Deputy Dorn placed your body weight on him. You and Deputy Dorn gave [REDACTED] directives before he rose to his feet. [REDACTED] was then escorted to the detention bench at the other end of the third floor corridor. As you walked [REDACTED] past the third floor guard station you saw Sergeant [REDACTED], who confirmed your intentions by asking "detention bench?" to which you replied, "Yes sir." Sergeant [REDACTED] then walks back into third floor guard.

You told Sergeant Taylor you believed Sergeant [REDACTED] witnessed the event, but you were not sure if he saw [REDACTED] going to the ground. Sergeant Taylor asked you if you conveyed to Sergeant [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] had gone to the ground while being escorted and you said, "I don't believe so." Sergeant Taylor asked if it was fair to say Sergeant [REDACTED] may not have had all the facts at that time of what had actually occurred and you said, "Yes sir."

Sergeant Taylor asked you after reviewing the video, did you believe the incident would be considered a reportable use of force and you replied, "Yes." You said you did not write a use of force report because you were not directed to do so, but realized you should have. Sergeant Taylor asked if it was fair to say that Sergeant [REDACTED] did not direct you to write a use of force report because he did not have all the pertinent information about the incident and you responded, "Fair to say."

Your poor judgment and actions created unnecessary liability to the department. You also brought discredit to yourself and the department as well. As a member of the Orange County Sheriff's Department, you have an unyielding responsibility to continually conduct yourself professionally, honestly, and not bring public embarrassment or liability upon yourself, your Department, or its members. After consideration of all available information, the Department believes you violated the above listed OCSD Policy sections.

In addition to this "Notice of Pending Suspension," you are entitled to all documents that substantiated the decision to suspend you:


- Initial Action
- Internal Investigation Summary
- Watch List
- Internal Memo's
- Grievance submitted by Inmate [REDACTED]
- Copy of Jail Incident Report and Advice of Punishment for Inmate [REDACTED]
- Main Jail video surveillance
- CD of video interview of Inmate [REDACTED] and CD with pictures of Inmate [REDACTED]
- CD of Internal Affairs Interview

This incident may serve as basis for a substandard evaluation, and/or denial of promotion and/or transfer. Also, be advised that any future sustained incident of a similar nature may result in a substandard performance evaluation, more severe discipline being imposed upon you, up to and including termination, and/or the denial of promotion and/or transfer.


You are entitled to a Due Process Review (Skelly Meeting) before discipline is imposed. You may respond in writing to Assistant Sheriff Steven Kea within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of this notice, or you may request a meeting. If you choose a meeting, you must notify Internal Affairs Sergeant Virgil Asuncion within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of this Notice and a meeting will be arranged for a later date.

If you do not provide a written response or request a meeting by 1700 hours on the tenth calendar day following your receipt of this Notice, it will be assumed you have waived your right to be heard. If you do respond, consideration will be given to your response prior to taking any proposed action on this proposal for suspension. You are entitled to represent yourself or may be represented by the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriffs ("AOCDS") pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding between the County of Orange and AOCDS in any pre-disciplinary meeting you may request.

If this proposed action becomes final, you have the right to appeal, pursuant to Article IX, Section 3C and Article X, Sections 7 and 8 of the Memorandum of Understanding, County of Orange and the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriff's for the Peace Officer and Supervising Peace Officer Unit.

  
Cindi Coppock, Captain  
Custody Operations

4/6/17  
Date

  
Daniel Castillo #9110, Deputy II

04-12-17  
Date



# ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

550 N. FLOWER STREET  
SANTA ANA, CA 92703  
714-647-7000  
WWW.OCSD.ORG

SHERIFF-CORONER  
SANDRA HUTCHENS

## NOTICE OF SUSPENSION PI# 16-083

Justin Dorn #8783  
Central Men's Jail  
Custody and Court Operations

You are hereby notified that the Orange County Sheriff-Coroner Department ("OCSD") is suspending you without pay from your position of Deputy Sheriff I, for a period of forty (40) working hours, with sixteen (16) hours held in abeyance. The (24) hour suspension will be served in its entirety over consecutive days and completed within one pay-period. Pursuant to Article IX, Section 3, of the County of Orange Memorandum of Understanding for the Peace Officer Unit, and the Orange County Sheriff's Department Policy Manual 340.2 (a) 2, you are suspended for violating the following:

### **OCSD Policy 1018.1 Standard of Conduct**

(a) Members shall conduct their private and professional lives in such a manner as to avoid bringing discredit upon themselves or the department.

### **OCSD Policy 1018.5 Performance of Duty**

Members shall perform their duties as required or directed by law, department rules/regulations, procedures, policies, or by order of a superior officer. All lawful duties required by competent authority shall be performed promptly as directed.

### **1018.6 Obedience to Laws and Regulations**

(a) Members shall observe and obey all laws and ordinances, all rules/regulations, procedures and policies of the department and all orders of the department or commands thereof. In the event of improper action or breach of discipline, it will be presumed that the member was familiar with the law, rule/regulation, procedure or policy in question.

### **OCSD Policy 300.2 Use of Force**

It is the policy of this department that deputies shall use only that amount of force that appears objectively reasonable, given the facts and circumstances perceived by the deputy at the time of the event, to effectively bring an incident under control. "Reasonableness" of the force used must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable deputy on the scene at the time of the incident.



Any interpretation of reasonableness must allow for the fact the sheriff's deputies are often forced to make split-second decisions in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving about the amount of force that is objectively reasonable in a particular situation. Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation a deputy might encounter in the field, it is recognized that each deputy must be entrusted with well-reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident. While it is the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter to minimize injury to everyone involved, nothing in this policy requires a deputy to actually sustain physical injury before applying reasonable force. Law enforcement personnel shall use no more force than is objectively reasonable to accomplish lawful objectives.

The use of any force to accomplish unlawful objectives is prohibited. The Department will not tolerate excessive and/or punitive force.


**OCSD Policy 300.6 Post Use Of Force Procedures**

Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented by that member promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report depending on the nature of the incident. The department member shall also complete the "Use of Force" statistical summary. A DR number will be required for the Use of Force Statistical Summary.

When documenting a Use of Force event, Department personnel may review OCSD audio/video recordings (e.g. PVS, jail facility cameras) as a means of ensuring factual accuracy and enhancing recollection. Use of such materials in preparation of reports shall be documented, and done on an individual rather than collective basis

In the letter entitled "Notice of Pending Suspension" that you were provided, the specifics of the above listed violations were noted. In addition, you were provided your right to request a Due Process Review (Skelly Meeting) of this matter, which you exercised. A Due Process Review (Skelly Meeting) was held with Commander W. Baker on May 1, 2017. Commander Baker reviewed the facts in this case and decided to uphold your forty (40) hour suspension, holding sixteen (16) of the hours in abeyance for one year from the signing of this document.

You have the right to appeal this matter, pursuant to Article IX, Section 3C and Article X, Sections 7 and 8 of the Memorandum of Understanding, County of Orange and the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriff's for the Peace Officer and Supervising Peace Officer Unit.

  
Cindi Coppock, Captain  
Custody Operations

5/12/17  
Date

  
Justin Dorn #8783, Deputy Sheriff I

5/13/17  
Date



# ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

550 N. FLOWER STREET  
SANTA ANA, CA 92703  
714-647-7000  
WWW.OCSD.ORG

SHERIFF-CORONER  
SANDRA HUTCHENS

## NOTICE OF PENDING SUSPENSION PI# 16-083

Justin Dorn #8783  
Central Men's Jail  
Custody Operations

You are hereby notified that the Orange County Sheriff-Coroner Department ("OCSD") intends to suspend you without pay from your position of Deputy I, for a period of forty (40) working hours. Your suspension shall be served in its entirety over consecutive days and will be completed within one pay period. Pursuant to Article IX, Section 3, of the County of Orange Memorandum of Understanding for the Peace Officer Unit, and the Orange County Sheriff's Department Policy Manual 340.2 (a) 2, you will be suspended for violating the following:

### **OCSD Policy 1018.5 Performance of Duty**

Members shall perform their duties as required or directed by law, department rules/Regulations, procedures, policies, or by order of a superior officer. All lawful duties required by competent authority shall be performed promptly as directed.

### **OCSD Policy 1018.1 Standard of Conduct**

Members shall conduct their private and professional lives in such a manner as to avoid bringing discredit upon themselves or the department.

### **OCSD Policy 1018.6 Obedience To Laws and Regulations**

(a) Members shall observe and obey all laws and ordinances, all rules/regulations, procedures and policies of the department and all orders of the department or commands thereof. In the event of improper action or breach of discipline, it will be presumed that the member was familiar with the law, rule/regulation, procedure or policy in question.

### **OCSD Policy 300.2 Use of Force**

It is the policy of this department that deputies shall use only that amount of force that appears objectively reasonable, given the facts and circumstances perceived by the deputy at the time of the event, to effectively bring an incident under control. "Reasonableness" of the force used must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable deputy on the scene at the time of the incident. Any interpretation of reasonableness must allow for the fact the sheriff's deputies are often forced to make split-second decisions in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving about the amount of force that is objectively reasonable in a particular situation. Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation a deputy might encounter in the field, it is recognized that each deputy must be entrusted with well reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident. While it is the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter to minimize injury to everyone involved, nothing in this policy requires a

deputy to actually sustain physical injury before applying reasonable force. Law enforcement personnel shall use no more force than is objectively reasonable to accomplish lawful objectives.

The use of any force to accomplish unlawful objectives is prohibited. The Department will not tolerate excessive and/or punitive force.

**OCSD Policy 300.6 POST USE OF FORCE PROCEDURES**

Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented by that member promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report depending on the nature of the incident. The department member shall also complete the "Use of Force" statistical summary. A DR number will be required for the Use of Force Statistical Summary.

When documenting a Use of Force event, Department personnel may review OCSD audio/video recordings (e.g. PVS, jail facility cameras) as a means of ensuring factual accuracy and enhancing recollection. Use of such materials in preparation of reports shall be documented, and done on an individual rather than collective basis

On July 26, 2016 at the direction of Commander J. Briggs, Internal Affairs initiated a personnel investigation into your on-duty actions. It was alleged that you used excessive force during an encounter with an inmate and failed to write an accurate report documenting the incident. This incident was brought to the attention of supervisors at the Central Men's Jail when the inmate, [REDACTED] OCN [REDACTED] submitted a grievance alleging you ripped his shirt off of him during a search, purposely tripped him when you escorted him out of the vestibule and placed handcuffs on him so tight it caused a small cut on his inner right wrist.

On November 4, 2016, you were interviewed by Sergeant C. Taylor and Sergeant T. Graham reference the investigation. Also present at your request was Representative R. Baumann. During the interview you recalled how an unknown inmate cursed and yelled a disrespectful comment at you while you performed a safety check. A short time after the safety check an unknown inmate yelled to you that Inmate [REDACTED] wanted to speak with you. You remotely opened [REDACTED] cell and he walked toward the guard station vestibule, where he informed you that he was the inmate that had yelled the disrespectful comment.

A short time later Sergeant [REDACTED] entered your module to perform a discipline hearing for Inmate [REDACTED]. You and Deputy Castillo # 9110 stood by while Sergeant [REDACTED] performed the hearing with [REDACTED]. You told Sergeant Taylor in your Internal Affairs interview that [REDACTED] was disrespectful during the interview and often interrupted Sergeant [REDACTED]. You told Sergeant Taylor [REDACTED] was agitated and had to be told a couple times to keep his hands in his pockets and not to raise his voice.

After Sergeant [REDACTED] left the area, you decided to conduct a search of [REDACTED] because he was not following directives given to him. Sergeant Taylor asked you if the search of [REDACTED] was an aggressive search and you said, "Yes it was more aggressive." You were questioned as to why you felt the need to search [REDACTED] after Sergeant [REDACTED] had left and you said you could not recall what your mindset was on that day, but that you believed you searched [REDACTED] for contraband.

In the video you are seen conducting a search on [REDACTED]. You use your right foot to push [REDACTED] feet apart. You then press [REDACTED] against the wall and reach down to search the right ankle area of [REDACTED]. You then pull [REDACTED] right foot out even further. This appears to cause [REDACTED] to bring his feet back together in an attempt to keep from falling. You are seen on the video pressing [REDACTED] against the wall again while simultaneously kicking his right foot with your right foot in an attempt to widen his stance. You eventually place handcuffs on [REDACTED] and have him sit on the ground while you go search his cell.

Sergeant Taylor asked you if after reviewing the video did you believe your search of [REDACTED] was a use of force and you responded, "Now seeing that, yes." Sergeant Taylor asked you again if you believed a use of force took place with [REDACTED] and you replied, "Yea, I'm using force to overcome resistance."

You leave [REDACTED] sitting alone in the vestibule for sixteen (16) minutes while you search his cell and when you return to the vestibule you said [REDACTED] asked you, "Do you feel good about yourself, cocksucker?" The video shows you and Deputy Castillo enter the vestibule and have a brief conversation with [REDACTED] then you decide to search him a second time. You could not tell Sergeant Taylor why you decided to search [REDACTED] a second time. During this search you remove [REDACTED] jail issued pants and push him up against the wall.

You can be seen on the video kicking [REDACTED] feet apart and pinning him against the wall. At one point in the video you return to the Module office and return to searching [REDACTED]. The video shows you using a stepping motion to push [REDACTED] jail issued underwear down. You are then seen pulling [REDACTED] right leg into the air and this pulling motion causes [REDACTED] right leg to extend outward. You can then be seen removing a white object from [REDACTED] leg, which you believed was an extra pair of jail issued underwear. Your pulling motion causes [REDACTED] body to come off the wall, so you immediately push him back against the wall, pinning him with your arm. **You said in your interview that you believed the act of pulling [REDACTED] leg in the air and removing his underwear was a use of force.**

On the video you can be seen escorting [REDACTED] out of the vestibule. On two separate occasions you can be seen placing your leg in front of [REDACTED] legs. The first time you are still in the vestibule and appear to make a sweeping motion with your left leg directly in front of [REDACTED] as he is being escorted toward the door. Sergeant Taylor asked you to pay close attention to your feet in relation to [REDACTED] as you watched the video. Sergeant Taylor stopped the video at one point and asked you what it appeared you were doing and your response was, "Like he's getting tripped." You claimed your actions were a result of you rushing to get out of the door, but the video clearly shows you outside the door when you moved your left foot directly in front of [REDACTED] as he is being escorted out of the vestibule. Your actions caused [REDACTED] to fall to the floor where you placed your

left knee on his back while giving him directions. [REDACTED] was eventually brought to his feet and escorted to the detention bench.

The only report documenting this event was a Major Rules Violation you wrote naming [REDACTED] as a suspect. You said you did not document [REDACTED] going to the ground in the report because you felt the purpose of the Major Rule Violation report was to document jail rule violations. Sergeant Taylor pointed out how your Major Rule Violation documented [REDACTED] being escorted to the detention bench, which had nothing to do with a jail rule violation, yet you did not document the manner in which you searched [REDACTED]. Sergeant Taylor asked you if you believed everything of relevance should be documented in a report and you said yes. **Sergeant Taylor asked you if [REDACTED] going to the ground was of relevance in regards to the event and you replied, "Yes."**

Your poor judgment and actions created unnecessary liability to the department. You also brought discredit to yourself and the department as well. As a member of the Orange County Sheriff's Department, you have an unyielding responsibility to continually conduct yourself professionally, honestly, and not bring public embarrassment or liability upon yourself, your Department, or its members. After consideration of all available information, the Department believes you violated the above listed OCSD Policy sections.

In addition to this "Notice of Pending Suspension," you are entitled to all documents that substantiated the decision to suspend you:


- Initial Action
- Internal Investigation Summary
- Watch List
- Internal Memo's
- Grievance submitted by Inmate [REDACTED]
- Copy of Jail Incident Report and Advice of Punishment for Inmate [REDACTED]
- Main Jail video surveillance
- CD of video interview of Inmate [REDACTED] and CD with pictures of Inmate [REDACTED]
- CD of Internal Affairs Interview

This incident may serve as basis for a substandard evaluation, and/or denial of promotion and/or transfer. Also, be advised that any future sustained incident of a similar nature may result in a substandard performance evaluation, more severe discipline being imposed upon you, up to and including termination, and/or the denial of promotion and/or transfer.


You are entitled to a Due Process Review (Skelly Meeting) before discipline is imposed. You may respond in writing to Assistant Sheriff Steven Kea within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of this notice, or you may request a meeting. If you choose a meeting, you must notify Internal Affairs Sergeant Virgil Asuncion within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of this Notice and a meeting will be arranged for a later date.

If you do not provide a written response or request a meeting by 1700 hours on the tenth calendar day following your receipt of this Notice, it will be assumed you have waived your right to be heard. If you do respond, consideration will be given to your response prior to taking any proposed action on this proposal for suspension. You are entitled to represent yourself or may be represented by the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriffs ("AOCDS") pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding between the County of Orange and AOCDS in any pre-disciplinary meeting you may request.

If this proposed action becomes final, you have the right to appeal, pursuant to Article IX, Section 3C and Article X, Sections 7 and 8 of the Memorandum of Understanding, County of Orange and the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriff's for the Peace Officer and Supervising Peace Officer Unit.

  
 Cindi Corbuck, Captain  
 Custody Operations

4/6/17  
 Date

  
 Justin Dorn, Deputy I

4/6/17  
 Date

## Haag, Dawn E

---

**From:** Manhart, Edward P  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 18, 2017 10:28 AM  
**To:** Haag, Dawn E  
**Subject:** RE: Deputy Dorn

Dawn,

Deputy Dorn will/is serving his 24hr suspension as follows:

5/18 11.5 hours (1000-2230)  
5/19 11.5 hours (1000-2230)  
5/20 1 hour (2130-2230)

Let me know if you need anything else.

Ed

**From:** Haag, Dawn E  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 18, 2017 10:18 AM  
**To:** Manhart, Edward P  
**Subject:** Deputy Dorn

Hi Lt. Manhart,  
Per our conversation, could you please notify me of the dates Deputy Dorn will be serving via email please?  
Thanks,  
Dawn



Sgt. Dawn Haag  
OCSD/Internal Affairs  
714-834-5569

**Haag, Dawn E**

---

**From:** Asuncion, Virgil D  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 12, 2017 8:39 AM  
**To:** Haag, Dawn E  
**Subject:** FW: Deputy Dorn



**Sergeant Virgil Asuncion**  
Orange County Sheriff's Department  
Professional Standards Division – Internal Affairs  
320 N. Flower Street  
Santa Ana, CA 92703  
714-834-5569 office  
vlasuncion@ocsd.org

**From:** Robert Baumann [mailto:rbaumann@adamsferrone.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 10, 2017 4:38 PM  
**To:** Asuncion, Virgil D <VDAAsuncion@ocsd.org>  
**Subject:** RE: Deputy Dorn

Sgt Asuncion,

In accordance with Deputy Dorn's Notice of Pending Suspension, he would like to request a Skelly meeting with Assistant Sheriff Kea.

Thank you,

Robert Baumann, Esq.  
Adams, Ferrone & Ferrone  
4333 Park Terrace Dr., Suite 200  
Westlake Village, CA 91361  
Phone: (805) 373-5900  
Fax: (818) 874-1382

This e-mail is from Adams, Ferrone & Ferrone, a law firm, and may contain information that is confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, or distribute the e-mail or any attachments. Please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and any attachments. Thank you.



**Complainant: Administration**

**Case Name: 16-083**

**Date of Complaint: July 4, 2016**

**Division / Division Commander: Central Men's Jail / Captain B. Baker**

***INITIAL ACTION***

- ☐ **No Further Action**
- ☐ **Division Review**
- ☐ **Human Resources Investigation**
- ☐ **Division Personnel Investigation**
- ☒ **Personnel Investigation**
- ☐ **Internal Criminal Investigation**

**Internal Affairs:**

Logged, P.I # and an Immediate Assessment by Internal Affairs:

I.A Sergeant Reviewed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_


**Internal Criminal:**

Sergeant: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ **Administrative Leave**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Assistant Sheriff / Commander**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

**ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  
INTERNAL MEMO**



**TO:** Lieutenant Jason Danks  
**FROM:** Sergeant Chad Taylor  
**DATE:** November 24, 2016  
**RE:** Personnel Investigation #16-083

---

**Complainant:** Administration

**Employee(s):** Deputy J. Dorn #8783 and Deputy D. Castillo #9110

**Incident Location:** Central Men's Jail

**Incident Date:** May 12, 2016

**Allegation(s):** Orange County Sheriff's Department Policy Manual

**300.2 POLICY**

It is the policy of this department that deputies shall use only that amount of force that appears objectively reasonable, given the facts and circumstances perceived by the deputy at the time of the event, to effectively bring an incident under control. "Reasonableness" of the force used must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable deputy on the scene at the time of the incident. Any interpretation of reasonableness must allow for the fact the sheriff's deputies are often forced to make split-second decisions in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving about the amount of force that is objectively reasonable in a particular situation. Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation a deputy might encounter in the field, it is recognized that each deputy must be entrusted with well-reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident. While it is the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter to minimize injury to everyone involved, nothing in this policy requires a deputy to actually sustain physical injury before applying reasonable force. Law enforcement personnel shall use no more force than is objectively reasonable to accomplish lawful objectives. The use of any force to accomplish unlawful objectives is prohibited. The Department will not tolerate excessive and/or punitive force.

**300.6 POST USE OF FORCE PROCEDURES**

Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented by that member promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report depending on the nature of the incident. The department member shall also complete the "Use of Force" statistical summary. A DR number will be required for the Use of Force Statistical Summary. When documenting a Use of Force event, Department personnel may review OCSD audio/video recordings (e.g. PVS, jail facility cameras) as a means of ensuring factual accuracy and enhancing recollection. Use of such materials in preparation of reports shall be documented, and done on an individual rather than collective basis.

**300.9 WITNESS TO A USE OF FORCE**

Any employee involved in or who witnesses a use of force shall notify a supervisor as soon as practical. Failure to notify a supervisor of a use of force may result in discipline pursuant to department policy. Such notification shall be made as soon as practical.



**300.10 IMMEDIATE NOTIFICATION TO SUPERVISORS AND SUPERVISORY RESPONSE  
REQUIRED**

Supervisory notification shall be made as soon as reasonably possible following the application of a reportable use of force.

**1018.1 STANDARD OF CONDUCT**

(a) Members shall conduct their private and professional lives in such a manner as to avoid bringing discredit upon themselves or the department.

**1018.5 PERFORMANCE OF DUTY**

Members shall perform their duties as required or directed by law, department rules/regulations, procedures, policies, or by order of a superior officer. All lawful duties required by competent authority shall be performed promptly as directed.

**1018.6 OBEDIENCE TO LAWS AND REGULATIONS**

(a) Members shall observe and obey all laws and ordinances, all rules/regulations, procedures and policies of the department and all orders of the department or commands thereof. In the event of improper action or breach of discipline, it will be presumed that the member was familiar with the law, rule/regulation, procedure or policy in question.

**1018.27 UNTRUTHFULNESS**

No member shall knowingly make false statements or misrepresentations to other members or superiors

**Initial Action**

On 7/26/16 and Internal Affairs investigation was initiated at the request of Commander J. Briggs into the unreported use of force by Deputy J. Dorn #8783 and Deputy D. Castillo #9110.

**Summary of Internal Memos****Copy of Internal Memo from Sergeant D. Beeler #3634 to Lieutenant S. Ostash (5-20-16)****Incident Synopsis:**

On Thursday/5-19-16, I received an "Inmate Grievance" from Inmate [REDACTED] Bkg# [REDACTED] In the grievance, [REDACTED] made the following allegations:

On 5-12-16 at about 1330 hours, Deputies J. Dorn #8783 and D. Castillo #9110 pulled him out of his cell for a disciplinary hearing with a Sergeant. During this time, his cell was searched by the above deputies where they proceeded to "trash his cell". After the Sergeant hearing, [REDACTED] was searched by the deputies where he was roughed up, kicked, knocked to the ground, clothing ripped and his head stepped on by Deputy Castillo. During the incident, Deputy Dorn was provoking [REDACTED] to fight by calling him a "pussy", "bitch", "punk" and "piece of shit".



Location of Incident:

The incident took place in the Module C South Vestibule and Module C South Alcove in the Central Men's Jail.

Interview with inmate:

On Friday/5-20-16 at about 2222 hours, I met with [REDACTED] on the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Hallway adjacent to Module C in the Central Men's Jail. I advised [REDACTED] I received his grievance and asked him to tell me about the incident. During the interview, Sgt. J. Harrington #5190 operated the video camera and Lt. S. Ostash was present. The following is a synopsis of what [REDACTED] told me:

He has been receiving a lot of write-ups lately for petty stuff. After the hearing with the Sergeant in the Mod C Vestibule area, Deputy Dorn and Castillo began to search him. They were pushing him against the wall and spreading his feet apart, causing him to fall. After being handcuffed, Deputy Dorn used an unknown tool to rip [REDACTED] shirt. After Deputy Dorn ripped his shirt, [REDACTED] was told he would be receiving a write-up for having an altered shirt. During the search, the deputies took off his pants and ripped shirt, just leaving his smock and boxers on. After the search, Deputy Dorn and Castillo began to escort him out into the hallway through the alcove area. While walking through the alcove, Deputy Dorn swiped at [REDACTED] legs three times attempting to knock him to the ground. As [REDACTED] would begin to fall, the deputies would pick him up and tell him to walk or they would drag him. The deputies eventually forced him to the ground just outside the alcove where Deputy Castillo pinned him down and put his foot on [REDACTED] head. They picked him up and began to walk him out of the alcove, holding his shirt back which made it difficult for him to breath. At that time, [REDACTED] saw the Sergeant who conducted his hearing exit the guard station. The deputies stopped knocking him down, walked him to the bench (3rd Floor Elevator #1 Vestibule-detention bench), where he was cuffed up. The handcuffs were placed on his wrist so tight he suffered a small cut on his right inner wrist. [REDACTED] pointed to his wrist where I saw redness and an abrasion which appeared to be almost healed. [REDACTED] also added his right pinky finger was slightly sore. I looked at his pinky finger and saw slight redness on the inside of the knuckle. After being placed on the detention bench, [REDACTED] said the deputies gave him new clothing. I asked [REDACTED] if he desired medical attention for the injuries and he said they were almost healed and he refused. I took one photo of the injury to his wrist and one photo of his right pinky finger.

Interview with Sgt. [REDACTED]

On Friday, 5-20-16 at approximately 2344 hours, I spoke with Sgt. [REDACTED]. Sgt. [REDACTED] recalled conducting a disciplinary hearing on 5-12-16 with [REDACTED] in Mod C. At about 1440 hours, he exited the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Guard Station and saw [REDACTED] being escorted to the detention bench by Deputies Dorn and Castillo. He did not see anything out of the ordinary and the deputies did not advise him of any type of incident, use of force or altercation involving [REDACTED].

Video Evidence:

The area is monitored by recorded CCTV. I viewed video on Friday/5-21-16 reference the above described incident occurring on 5-12-16. At about 1401 hours (Camera C109), I see [REDACTED] walking out of the housing area and placing his back against the wall in the Mod C South Vestibule with Deputy Dorn standing in front of him. There is a second deputy present but I am unable to identify him. At 1403 hours, I see Sgt. [REDACTED] appear to conduct the disciplinary hearing. At 1420 hours, Sgt. [REDACTED] concluded the hearing and walked away. Within a few seconds, I see Deputy Dorn approach [REDACTED] turned around and faced the wall. Deputy Dorn walked up to [REDACTED] grabbed his hands, and began to conduct a search by spreading [REDACTED] legs and pressing him into the wall. As Deputy Dorn searched, I see Deputy Castillo walk up and assist by stepping to [REDACTED] left side. At 1422 hours, Deputy Dorn placed handcuffs on [REDACTED] and he sits down facing the wall. Both Deputies walk away into the Mod C housing area to conduct the search of [REDACTED] cell. At 1438 hours, Deputies Dorn and Castillo return to [REDACTED] who is still sitting and facing the wall.



The Deputies are seen talking to [REDACTED]. At 1439 hours, [REDACTED] is stood up by Deputy Dorn where they begin to search [REDACTED] again. Deputy Castillo, standing on [REDACTED] left side, pulls [REDACTED] pants down and removes them. Deputy Castillo kicks the pants behind him. They continue to search [REDACTED] and at 1440 hours, it appears Deputy Dorn is tugging on [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] falls to the ground. The Deputies pick up [REDACTED] and place him back against the wall. Deputy Dorn walks away into the Mod C Guard station. At 1441 hours, Deputy Dorn returns and steps to [REDACTED] right side. Deputy Dorn is using his right leg to remove an unknown article of clothing from [REDACTED]. Deputy Dorn and Castillo remove [REDACTED] from the wall and walk him out of view of Camera 109 into the Mod C South Alcove.

Deputy Dorn and Castillo begin to walk [REDACTED] into the Mod C South Alcove (Camera C102). It appears Deputy [REDACTED] uses his left leg in an attempt to trip [REDACTED] by sweeping his right leg. After exiting the alcove door into the hallway, I see Deputy Dorn sweep with his left again, causing [REDACTED] to fall forward to the ground. It appears the deputies are struggling with [REDACTED] as he lays face down on the ground. As they struggle with [REDACTED] (Camera C104), I see the deputies place their knees on his back, pinning him to the ground. Both Deputies are seen motioning for assistance towards the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Guard Station. I see Deputy [REDACTED] and Deputy [REDACTED] respond from the guard station. Deputy Dorn and Castillo are seen walking [REDACTED] to the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Elevator #1 Vestibule. At 1442 hours (Camera C98), [REDACTED] is handcuffed to the detention bench and the Deputies exit.

#### Recommendations:

I checked the 24 Hour Jail Operations Log and the Corrections Sergeant Log for 5-12-16 and I did not see any entry for a use of force. Based on my interview with [REDACTED] and after reviewing the CCTV video, I recommend that this incident be forwarded for further review.

#### Copy of Internal Memo from Lieutenant S. Ostash to Captain Baker (5-22-16)

On 05-19-16, Sergeant Beeler received an Inmate Grievance form from Inmate [REDACTED] (Booking # [REDACTED]). In this grievance, [REDACTED] stated that on 05-12-16, Deputies J. Dorn # 8783 and D. Castillo # 9110 assaulted him in the Central Men's Jail Module "C" South Vestibule after he had a disciplinary hearing with an unnamed sergeant who was later identified as Sergeant [REDACTED].

Sergeant Beeler interviewed [REDACTED] and reviewed the video surveillance footage of the area. [REDACTED] recollection of the incident is consistent with what the video footage shows, which is two deputies pinning him against a wall, kicking his feet apart, cuffing him, and then cutting jail-issue clothing off of him. The video shows the same two deputies escorting [REDACTED] out to the main hallway. While they were walking, one of the deputies appeared to trip [REDACTED] several times. Sergeant Beeler identified the two deputies in the video as Dorn and Castillo. [REDACTED] stated he sustained a cut to his right wrist as a result of the handcuffs being applied too tightly.

Sergeant Beeler spoke to Sergeant [REDACTED] who stated he saw [REDACTED] being escorted in the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor hallway by deputies, but did not notice anything that indicated force had just been used against him. Sergeant [REDACTED] also said that no deputies advised him that force was used against [REDACTED]. There is no documentation of a use of force incident involving [REDACTED] in the Central Men's Jail 24-Hour Log for that day. Based on Sergeant Beeler's preliminary investigation of the incident, it appears that Deputies Dorn and Castillo were involved in a use of force incident involving Inmate [REDACTED] and did not report it. I recommend that this matter be forwarded to OCSO Professional Standards Division for further review.



For further details, refer to:

- DVD of the video surveillance footage from the Module C vestibule
- DVD of Sergeant Beeler's interview of [REDACTED]
- Attached Internal Memo by Sergeant Beeler
- Attached copy of inmate [REDACTED] Inmate Grievance Form (J.I. # MJ052016/0351)
- Attached Copies of photographs of [REDACTED] wrist

**Copy of Internal Memo from Captain Baker to Commander J. Briggs (6-28-16)**

On May 23, 2016, I received an Internal Memo from Lieutenant S. Ostash alleging Deputies J. Dorn #8783 and D. Castillo #9110 were involved in an unreported use of force against inmate [REDACTED] booking [REDACTED]

In addition to Lieutenant Ostash's memo, the following documents and DVD's were provided to me:

- An Internal Memo written by Sergeant D. Beeler, dated May 20, 2016
- An Inmate's Advice of Punishment form, incident #051216/1745
- An Inmate's Advisement of Punishment form, incident #051216/1745
- Jail Incident Report #MJ051216/1330
- Jail Incident Report #MJ051216/1745
- Three Inmate Grievance/Grievance Appeal forms
- One photograph depicting [REDACTED] right wrist
- One photograph depicting [REDACTED] right hand
- Three DVD's
  - One containing several fixed camera video depictions of the incident
  - One containing an a video interview with [REDACTED] and Sergeant Beeler
  - One containing 19 photographs of [REDACTED]

Based on my review of Lieutenant Ostash's memo, the attached and above mentioned documentation, the video, and the photographs depicted on the three DVD's, it appears Deputies Dorn and Castillo were involved in a use of force involving Inmate [REDACTED] which they did not report, in violation of several Orange County Sheriff's Department Policies and Procedures, including, but not limited to the following:

**300.2 POLICY**

It is the policy of this department that deputies shall use only that amount of force that appears objectively reasonable, given the facts and circumstances perceived by the deputy at the time of the event, to effectively bring an incident under control. "Reasonableness" of the force used must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable deputy on the scene at the time of the incident. Any interpretation of reasonableness must allow for the fact the sheriff's deputies are often forced to make split-second decisions in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving about the amount of force that is objectively reasonable in a particular situation. Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation a deputy might encounter in the field, it is recognized that each deputy must be entrusted with well-reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident. While it is the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter to minimize injury to everyone involved, nothing in this policy requires a deputy to actually sustain physical injury before applying reasonable force. Law enforcement personnel shall use no more force than is objectively reasonable to accomplish lawful objectives. The use of any force to accomplish unlawful objectives is prohibited. The Department will not tolerate excessive and/or punitive force.



**300.6 POST USE OF FORCE PROCEDURES**

Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented by that member promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report depending on the nature of the incident. The department member shall also complete the "Use of Force" statistical summary. A DR number will be required for the Use of Force Statistical Summary. When documenting a Use of Force event, Department personnel may review OCSD audio/video recordings (e.g. PVS, jail facility cameras) as a means of ensuring factual accuracy and enhancing recollection. Use of such materials in preparation of reports shall be documented, and done on an individual rather than collective basis.

**300.9 WITNESS TO A USE OF FORCE**

Any employee involved in or who witnesses a use of force shall notify a supervisor as soon as practical. Failure to notify a supervisor of a use of force may result in discipline pursuant to department policy. Such notification shall be made as soon as practical.

**300.10 IMMEDIATE NOTIFICATION TO SUPERVISORS AND SUPERVISORY RESPONSE REQUIRED**

Supervisory notification shall be made as soon as reasonably possible following the application of a reportable use of force.

**1018.5 PERFORMANCE OF DUTY**

Members shall perform their duties as required or directed by law, department rules/regulations, procedures, policies, or by order of a superior officer. All lawful duties required by competent authority shall be performed promptly as directed.

***On 6-30-16, an Internal Memo from Commander J. Briggs was submitted advising that he concurred with the request for a Personnel Investigation.***

Included in the file was grievance MJ052016/0351. The grievance lists [REDACTED] as the informant. The grievance was submitted on 5-19-16 and advises the date of the incident as 5-12-16 at approximately 1330 hours occurring in Module C-13.

***The following is a summary of the details section of the grievance.***

[REDACTED] was requesting a grievance for abusive treatment and excessive force he experienced at the hands of Deputy Castillo and Deputy Dorn on 5-12-16 that occurred around 1330 hours. [REDACTED] alleges the deputies removed him for a disciplinary hearing and while he was out of his cell, the deputies "trashed" the cell [REDACTED] is assigned to in retaliation. After the Sergeant left the area, both deputies started "rough housing" [REDACTED] knocking [REDACTED] to the ground, and yelling at him for not standing. One of the deputies ripped [REDACTED] shirt then told him he would be receiving a write up for having an altered shirt. [REDACTED] clothing was taken away and he was escorted in his underwear and directed to sit on a bench. While being escorted to the bench, Deputy Dorn kicked [REDACTED] legs, causing him to fall. Once on the ground, Deputy Dorn yelled at [REDACTED] to walk, but [REDACTED] could not because Deputy Dorn was continually kicking at his legs. Deputy Castillo "slammed" [REDACTED] to the floor and stepped on his head, pinning [REDACTED] to the floor. Throughout the incident, Deputy Dorn was calling [REDACTED] a "pussy", "Bitch", "Punk", "Piece of shit" and trying to provoke [REDACTED] to attack him.



On the opposite side of the grievance, [REDACTED] wrote that he submitted a grievance to Deputy [REDACTED] on 5-13-16 at about 1430 hours. [REDACTED] had not received a reply or a receipt in five (5) days in violation of the grievance procedure. [REDACTED] requested to speak to a Sergeant about the incident.

The grievance was signed by [REDACTED] on 5-19-16 at 1100 hours.  
The grievance was received by Sergeant Beeler on 5-19-16 (no time noted)  
The grievance was assigned to Lt. Puckett on 5-21-16 (no time noted)

A copy of the filed grievance is included in this report. The grievance was received on 5-19-16 and assigned to Lieutenant Puckett. On 7-6-16 at 1100 hours, the grievance was completed and shows the investigation was forwarded to Captain Baker with a recommendation to have the incident investigated by Internal Affairs.

**Jail Incident Report MJ051216/1330 was included in the file.**

This report was written by Deputy Dorn #8783. The report is dated 5-12-16 and was approved by Sergeant Jurado #780. The report offense is listed as Habitual Jail Rule Violator/Disrespect Staff/Poss Contraband. Location listed is Module C, Tank 13, Cell 6. Victim listed is Deputy Dorn #8783 with a date of occurrence of 05-12-06 at 1330 hours. Suspected listed is [REDACTED]

**The following is a summary of the narrative of Deputy Dorn's report:**

On 5-12-16, Deputy Dorn was conducting a safety check in Module C Tanks #13 and #14 when an unknown inmate yelled, "Fuck you Dorn and your majors." Deputy Dorn called to the inmates in Tank 13 and asked for the person who shouted the disrespectful statement to identify themselves. No inmates responded, so Deputy Dorn completed his safety check. After completing his safety check, Deputy Dorn heard several inmates advised the inmate in tank 13, cell 6 [REDACTED] wished to speak with him. Deputy Dorn had [REDACTED] walk to the vestibule so he could talk with him, at which time [REDACTED] told Dorn the following:

[REDACTED] admitted to yelling the disrespectful statement earlier because he was upset for receiving a write up the day before. [REDACTED] did not agree with jail rules being enforced and the fact that two other inmates had been written up earlier in the day for "fishing."

Deputy Dorn noticed [REDACTED] had modified his jail issued clothing by ripping the bottom of the pant legs, along with modifying his jail issued wristband. Deputy Dorn asked [REDACTED] if he had any other contraband on him and [REDACTED] said he did not. Deputy Dorn directed [REDACTED] to spread his feet and [REDACTED] moved his feet apart only a couple of inches. Deputy Dorn directed [REDACTED] to spread his feet again, but [REDACTED] did not respond. [REDACTED] was placed in handcuffs and Deputy Dorn completed his search without further incident. Deputy Dorn directed [REDACTED] to sit on the ground and advised him he was going to be written up for Disrespect to Staff.

Deputy Dorn searched [REDACTED] cell and found two trash bags with pruno residue, extra jail issue, contraband, excessive number of books, ratlines and jail issued canvas shoes without a medical form.





Deputy Dorn informed [REDACTED] he was going to receive a write up for the jail rule violations. [REDACTED] asked Deputy Dorn, "Do you feel good about yourself you cocksucker?" Deputy Dorn asked [REDACTED] to repeat his question and [REDACTED] responded by saying, "You heard me cocksucker, you fucking pig." Deputy Dorn had [REDACTED] stand up and he removed [REDACTED] modified jail issued trousers and removed an extra pair of boxers [REDACTED] was wearing. Sergeant [REDACTED] was notified of the incident and [REDACTED] was escorted to the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor bench where he was placed until Deputy Dorn could get him a pair of unmodified pants. [REDACTED] was returned to his cell without further incident.

On 5-14-16, Sergeant Rupley conducted a Disciplinary Hearing with [REDACTED] about the incident documented above. During the hearing, [REDACTED] admitted to making the disrespectful statement. [REDACTED] was upset for receiving a write up and felt he was being singled out. [REDACTED] admitted to possessing the contraband listed in Deputy Dorn's report.

The facts were determined to be founded and [REDACTED] was assigned 20 days in Disciplinary Isolation from 06-04-16 to 06-23-16.

**Jail Incident Report MJ051216/1745 was included in the file:**

The report documents [REDACTED] receiving a write up for retrieving (fishing) items from the tier after being directed not to do so. [REDACTED] admitted to Sergeant Rupley during a Disciplinary Hearing to violating the rule, but did not know it was a jail rule violation. For this event, [REDACTED] received All Privileges Revoked from 7-6-16 thru 7-15-16. [Investigator note: It is unclear as to why this report was placed in the file. [REDACTED] grievance MJ052016/0351 makes not reference to this event]

There were three (3) compact discs with titles written on them included in the file. Below I have listed the disc titles as they appear on the each individual disc as well as a description of the material on each disc:

1) "[REDACTED] Interview JI#MJ052016/0351"

*This disc is a videotaped interview with Inmate [REDACTED] which was documented in the Internal Memo written by Sergeant Beeler to Lieutenant Ostash on May 20, 2016.*

2) "Taken 5-23-16 by Sgt. Vollmer JI# MJ052016/0351 I/M [REDACTED] BKG [REDACTED]"

This disc contains a series of nineteen (19) photographs of Inmate [REDACTED] documenting his physical state at the time of his interview with Sergeant Beeler on May 20<sup>th</sup>, 2016. [Investigator's note: There are two photographs that were submitted with the file. One is a picture of [REDACTED] right wrist with a small abrasion. The second picture is of [REDACTED] right palm. These two pictures are not included in the nineteen photos, but are documented in the Internal Memo dated 6-28-16 from Captain Baker to Commander Briggs.]

3) "Fixed Cameras"

This disc contains six (6) videos. Below I have listed the title of the videos as they appear on the player as well as the images contained on each individual video.

**(01)C132- Module C Tank 13 and 14 Corr east: Video starts date/time stamped May/12/16 13:59:48**

The video is surveillance footage filmed from the ceiling covering the beach, filming from the area of the inmates' dayroom toward the Module C vestibule. At about 14:00:00, an inmate is seen walking on the beach area toward the guard station vestibule, then out of sight. Video ends 14:00:16.



**(2)C109-Module C South Vestibule: Video starts date/time stamped 05/12/2016 14:01:18**

The video is documented in the Internal Memo dated 5-20-16 from Sergeant Beeler to Lieutenant Ostash. For convenience purposes I will document the times of each significant incident in this video:

14:01:46 Inmate [REDACTED] standing with his back against the wall with Deputy Dorn standing in front of him, another unknown is standing on Deputy Dorn's right side.

14:02:47 Deputy Dorn and second Deputy leave vestibule area and enter guard station.

14:03:08 Sergeant [REDACTED] enters vestibule to conduct Disciplinary Hearing.

14:20:26 Sergeant [REDACTED] leaves the vestibule area.

14:20:35 Deputy Dorn uses his right foot to push [REDACTED] right foot.

14:20:38 Deputy Dorn presses [REDACTED] against the wall.

14:20:50 [REDACTED] brings his feet back together.

14:20:52 Deputy Dorn uses his feet to spread [REDACTED] feet apart and presses [REDACTED] against the wall.

14:20:58 Deputy Dorn appears to search [REDACTED] right ankle area at the same time he pulls [REDACTED] feet apart further.

14:21:06 Deputy Castillo stands to the left of [REDACTED] and limits the view of the contact from the camera.

14:21:44 There is a commotion of movement with [REDACTED] but due to the position of the camera I cannot clearly see what is going on.

14:21:52 Deputy Dorn places handcuffs on [REDACTED]

14:22:06 [REDACTED] sits on the ground facing the wall, while Deputy Dorn and Deputy Castillo exit the vestibule to go into the module.

**[Investigators note: After the Deputies leave, [REDACTED] moves his body to his right and looks in the direction of the module. [REDACTED] also rocks back and forth at the waist, moving his arms from time to time]**

14:38:21 Deputies Dorn and Castillo enter the vestibule after searching [REDACTED] cell.

14:38:42 Deputy Dorn talks with [REDACTED] who is still sitting on the ground handcuffed.

14:39:18 [REDACTED] stands up on his own.

14:39:38 Deputy Dorn removes [REDACTED] pants and Deputy Castillo kicks the pants behind him.

14:39:50 Deputy Dorn and Deputy Castillo push [REDACTED] against the wall.

14:40:01 Deputy Dorn kicks [REDACTED] right foot in an attempt to have [REDACTED] widen his stance while being searched.

14:40:10 There is a lot of commotion and it appears Deputies Dorn and Castillo are pushing [REDACTED] against the wall, where [REDACTED] slumps down.

14:40:14 Deputies Dorn and Castillo use [REDACTED] arms to lift him up and press him against the wall.

14:40:18 Deputy Dorn pulls [REDACTED] away from the wall and pushes him back against it.

14:40:45 Deputy Dorn walks into module office, Deputy Castillo continues to hold [REDACTED] against the wall.

14:41:15 Deputy Dorn exits module office and walks back to [REDACTED] who is kneeling on the ground.

14:41:25 Deputy Dorn and Deputy Castillo lift [REDACTED] by his arms and place him against the wall.

14:41:28 Deputy Dorn appears to use a stepping motion to assist in pulling down an article of clothing on [REDACTED]

14:41:35 Deputy Dorn pulls an article of clothing off [REDACTED] which causes [REDACTED] body to pull away from the wall.

14:41:41 [REDACTED] is bent over at the waist and turned toward the exit of the vestibule. Deputy Dorn is controlling [REDACTED] right arm and Deputy Castillo is controlling [REDACTED] left arm.

14:41:44 [REDACTED] is escorted out of view of the camera toward the third floor corridor.

Video ends 14:41:45



**(3) C102- Module C South Alcove:** Video starts date/time stamped 05/12/2016 14:41:25

14:41:25 Module C Alcove door opens.

14:41:35 Camera films inside the vestibule area, motion can be seen and a white cloth object is thrown on the ground. **[Investigators note: The Discipline Report submitted by Deputy Dorn advised he removed an extra pair of jail issued boxers from ██████]**

14:41:40 Deputy Dorn and Deputy Castillo can be seen holding ██████ by his arms as he bends over at the waist.

14:41:45 Deputy Dorn places his left leg in front of ██████ and ██████ appears to avoid being tripped, but at almost the same time Deputy Dorn appears to lean forward and this drives ██████ to the ground. **[Investigators note: ██████ body falls out of view of the camera and his legs can be seen flailing around]**

14:42:06 ██████ is pulled to his feet; Deputy Castillo holds on to ██████ left arm and escorts him away.

Video ends 14:42:11

**(04) C104- 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Hallway South:** Video starts date/time stamped 05/12/2016 14:41:34

14:41:45 Deputies Castillo and Dorn come into view as they escort ██████ out of the Module C Alcove; ██████ is taken to the ground.

14:41:52 Deputy Dorn places his left knee in the middle of ██████ back, Deputy Castillo places his knee in ██████ lower back, pinning ██████ to the ground.

14:42:02 Deputy Castillo appears to be gripping ██████ hand at the same time as ██████ quickly moves his legs up towards his lower back.

14:42:07 ██████ is lifted from the floor and escorted to the third floor detention bench down the hall.

Video ends 14:42:36

**(05) C97- 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Hallway North:** Video starts date/time stamped 05/12/2016 14:42:04

Video shows ██████ being escorted from the far end of the hallway to the elevator vestibule directly across from Module A.

Video ends 14:42:46

**(06) C98- 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Elevator 1 Vestibule:** Video starts date/time stamped 05/12/2016 14:42:29

Video shows ██████ being escorted into vestibule and sat on bench. ██████ is handcuffed to the bench by Deputy Dorn and Deputy Castillo, while three (3) Deputies stand outside the vestibule and look on. Deputy Dorn and Deputy Castillo exit the vestibule and walk back toward Module C. Vestibule gate is closed and one Deputy stands by and talks with ██████ through vestibule bars.

Video ends 14:44:07

**Interview of Deputy Daniel Castillo #9110 (Principal)**

*On 10-10-16 at about 1006 hours, I conducted a recorded interview with Deputy Castillo. The following is a synopsis of the conversation. It is not verbatim, nor necessarily in order of conversation, and is organized for the purposes of clarity. This report is not meant to take the place of the actual recording. It is just a synopsis. For complete details refer to the recording of the interview.*



I spoke to Deputy Daniel Castillo #9110 about Sheriff's Personnel Investigation #16-083. Present during the interview were Sergeant Graham and AOCDS Representative David Goldwasser. Deputy Castillo understood he was a Principal in the investigation. Deputy Castillo read and signed his Advisement of Public Safety Officer Procedural Bill of Rights and had no questions. Deputy Castillo read and signed the Confidentiality Directive and had no questions. Deputy Castillo understood everything we talked about was confidential and he was being ordered not to discuss the investigation with anyone outside of Internal Affairs or his representative. Deputy Castillo read his Miranda Warning and understood each of his Miranda Rights. Deputy Castillo did not have any questions about his Miranda Rights and was not willing to waive his Miranda Rights to speak to me.

Deputy Castillo read his Lybarger Warning and understood with the interview being an administrative investigation, he was being compelled to answer my questions and if he did not, he could be subject to a charge of insubordination. Deputy Castillo has been employed by the Orange County Sheriff's Department for approximately four years. Deputy Castillo is currently assigned to patrol training in the City of Rancho Santa Margarita, where he has been training for the last three months. Deputy Castillo has signed on and accepted the Department's Lexipol policy.

Prior to reviewing the surveillance video, I asked Deputy Castillo if he remembered being involved in an incident on 5-12-16 with Inmate [REDACTED] while at the Central Men's Jail. He said he did. Castillo remembers being present during a discipline hearing conducted by Sergeant [REDACTED]. Also present was Deputy Dorn. Castillo said [REDACTED] was argumentative during the interview, cussing at [REDACTED] and arguing the definition of contraband. [REDACTED] made no threats towards staff, but did make comments referring to staff as "fucking pigs."

After [REDACTED] left, Dorn and Castillo spoke to [REDACTED] about the definition of contraband. Castillo remembers conducting a search of [REDACTED] but does not recall if the search took place before or after searching [REDACTED] cell for contraband. **[Investigators note: Dorn and Castillo searched [REDACTED] before and after they searched his cell]** I asked Castillo why he would search an inmate after a disciplinary hearing and he said he believed he and Dorn searched [REDACTED] because of [REDACTED] confusion over the term contraband. Due to [REDACTED] confusion, Dorn and Castillo decided to search [REDACTED] to see if he had any items on his person that staff would deem contraband, contrary to [REDACTED] definition.

Castillo defined [REDACTED] as "passively resisting" and refusing to comply with directives completely. I asked Castillo to give me an example of [REDACTED] refusal to follow directives and he said as [REDACTED] was told to do something he would not follow directives completely, such as when directed to spread his feet. I asked if he remembered [REDACTED] tensing up or resisting during the search process and Castillo said he could not recall. Castillo did remember [REDACTED] being placed in handcuffs due to his demeanor, but did not feel [REDACTED] demeanor rose to the level of notifying a Sergeant. Castillo believed during the search of [REDACTED] he and Dorn removed [REDACTED] shirt and pants because he had manipulated the clothing. **[Investigators note: The removing of [REDACTED] clothing took place after Dorn and Castillo returned from searching [REDACTED] cell]** After searching [REDACTED] Dorn and Castillo left the vestibule area and searched the cell [REDACTED] was assigned to. During the search, Dorn and Castillo located bags with pruno residue and other contraband.



After completing the search of [REDACTED] cell, Dorn and Castillo returned to the vestibule to escort [REDACTED] to the third floor detention bench. Dorn entered the Mod C guard station and used the control panel to open the south vestibule door. Dorn and Castillo planned on escorting [REDACTED] to the third floor detention bench so they could get him replacement clothing for the clothing removed during their search. I asked Castillo why he felt the need to move [REDACTED] to the detention bench when he was already secured and alone in the vestibule area. Castillo said he did not know the reason, but had taken non-compliant inmates to the detention bench in the past and placed them on the bench while getting newer jail issued clothing. I asked if [REDACTED] was non-compliant to the point that a supervisor needed to be notified and Castillo believed he was going to notify a supervisor once [REDACTED] was secured on the bench.

Castillo and Dorn escorted [REDACTED] through the threshold of the vestibule door. At that time, Castillo felt [REDACTED] either struggle or completely impede the escort by becoming dead weight, before going down to the ground. Castillo placed his knee on [REDACTED] back and told him "don't do anything stupid." The CSA in the guard station noticed Dorn and Castillo controlling [REDACTED] on the ground and Castillo motioned to the CSA that no back up was needed. Another deputy walked towards their location, just as Castillo and Dorn lifted [REDACTED] to his feet to continue the escort. As they were escorting [REDACTED] past the third floor guard station, Sergeant [REDACTED] walked into the third floor corridor and said "Detention bench?" to which Castillo responds, "Yes, sir." [REDACTED] was tensing up as he was escorted to the bench, where he was secured.

I asked Castillo if he could recall what he and Dorn told [REDACTED] and he said he could not remember. I confirmed that he and Dorn both had a conversation with [REDACTED] about the incident while in the third floor guard station. I asked Castillo if he conveyed to [REDACTED] the nature of the event or if either he or Dorn told [REDACTED] a use of force had occurred. Castillo was not sure how much of the event [REDACTED] saw and he did not recall how much he told [REDACTED] in regards to the event. However, Castillo and Dorn talked about the incident because Dorn documented the incident on a Major Rules Violation to ensure they were "covered." **[Investigators note: Dorn wrote a Jail Incident Report MJ051216/1330 documenting the incident. The report does not document [REDACTED] going to the ground while being escorted or being held against the wall while searched or [REDACTED] being passively resistant and becoming dead weight or Dorn aggressively using his foot to spread [REDACTED] feet. The report does document [REDACTED] referring to Dorn in a disrespectful manner calling him a "cock sucker" and a "fucking pig"]**

I asked Castillo if during the event he believed he had been involved in a use of force and he said no. Castillo believed when [REDACTED] went to the ground it was because [REDACTED] was trying to be difficult by becoming dead weight. Castillo believed because he was using control holds while escorting [REDACTED] and it did not rise to the level of a use of force. Castillo denied conspiring with Dorn to purposely agitate [REDACTED] in an attempt to get [REDACTED] to physically attack them or to purposely cause harm to [REDACTED]. Castillo claimed he did ask [REDACTED] if he had any injuries as a result of the incident or if he needed to see medical staff, but [REDACTED] refused to answer the question. [REDACTED] shook his head in a back and forth motion indicating to Castillo that he was not injured and did not need to be seen by any medical staff.



While I cued up the video surveillance footage of the event, Sergeant Graham asked Castillo if [REDACTED] was disrespectful prior to being removed from the cell for his Disciplinary Hearing. Castillo did not recall [REDACTED] being removed from his cell or if he was present in the vestibule when he arrived in the guard station. Castillo could not recall if he searched [REDACTED] prior to Sergeant [REDACTED] arriving at the location for the hearing. **[Investigators note: Neither Dorn nor Castillo searched [REDACTED] prior to Sergeant [REDACTED] conducting his Disciplinary Hearing]** Sergeant Graham pointed out the search of [REDACTED] occurred after [REDACTED] was disrespectful during his Disciplinary Hearing. Castillo stated the search was due to [REDACTED] not understanding the definition of contraband when speaking to [REDACTED] earlier.

After the hearing with [REDACTED] Castillo and Dorn asked [REDACTED] if he had any contraband in his cell and [REDACTED] said he did not. Dorn and [REDACTED] searched [REDACTED] cell and found a large quantity of contraband in his cell. Castillo believed that if [REDACTED] did not understand what contraband was, he could possibly have contraband on him at that moment. Sergeant Graham asked Castillo if he would normally search an inmate prior to a Disciplinary Hearing to ensure the inmate did not have any weapons on his person and he agreed he would, but could not recall if [REDACTED] was searched prior to [REDACTED] arriving. Sergeant Graham pointed out, had the inmate been searched prior to Sergeant [REDACTED] arrival, the question of whether [REDACTED] had any contraband would have been answered. Sergeant Graham asked Castillo if he believed [REDACTED] not being searched prior to the Disciplinary Hearing was an officer safety issue and he said yes.

Sergeant Graham asked again about advising Sergeant [REDACTED] about the incident and asked if he believed [REDACTED] had witnessed the event. Castillo responded, "He may have, I, I don't, I don't know." Castillo was not sure if [REDACTED] saw the "tail end" of the event, but he was in the third floor corridor as [REDACTED] was escorted to the detention bench. Castillo agreed with Sergeant Graham that he did in fact later have a talk with [REDACTED] about the incident, but could not recall what specifically was said. Sergeant Graham asked Castillo if the discussion with [REDACTED] was to inform [REDACTED] that a possible use of force may have occurred. Castillo responded, "It may have been." Sergeant Graham asked specifically if the discussion with [REDACTED] was him notifying [REDACTED] he may have been involved in a possible use of force incident. Castillo said, "Yes sir" and went on to say he did not receive any direction from [REDACTED] nor did [REDACTED] direct him to write a use of force report.

I attempted to clarify what Castillo told [REDACTED] about the incident. I asked Castillo if he informed [REDACTED] he had a use of force incident or did he describe the incident to [REDACTED] and let [REDACTED] make the decision as to whether the incident was a reportable use of force. Castillo said he told [REDACTED] about the incident and based off the facts provided, [REDACTED] did not believe the incident was a reportable use of force. I asked Castillo if his description of the event to [REDACTED] included how [REDACTED] fell to the ground. Castillo responded, "I don't believe so." Castillo was not sure if [REDACTED] had seen [REDACTED] go to the ground, so I asked if he had a discussion with [REDACTED] about [REDACTED] going to the ground in the course of being escorted and Castillo said, "I don't think that was discussed." I asked if it was fair to say [REDACTED] may not have had all the facts at the time and Castillo replied, "Yes sir."



I asked Castillo if he purposely did not tell [REDACTED] about [REDACTED] going to the ground and he said, "No sir." I asked why he didn't tell [REDACTED] about [REDACTED] going to the ground and let [REDACTED] make the determination if the event was a reportable use of force. Castillo thought [REDACTED] saw the tail end of the incident and believed [REDACTED] was in the third floor corridor when he and Dorn were on top of [REDACTED]. I asked Castillo again if he told [REDACTED] about the incident taking place, but did not tell [REDACTED] about [REDACTED] going to the ground. Castillo responded, "I don't believe so." I asked Castillo why he wouldn't tell [REDACTED] about [REDACTED] going to the ground and he said, "If he witnessed it." I pointed out that if neither he nor [REDACTED] talked about [REDACTED] going to the ground, what would lead Castillo to believe [REDACTED] witnessed the event. Castillo said he just inferred [REDACTED] saw [REDACTED] go to the ground because [REDACTED] was in the corridor when they lifted [REDACTED] to his feet.

I questioned Castillo further in regards to a statement he made about motioning to a CSA in the third floor guard station after [REDACTED] went to the ground. In that statement, Castillo said [REDACTED] went to the ground and Castillo placed his right knee on [REDACTED] back to control him. At about the same time, Castillo motioned to the CSA in the guard station that no additional assistance was needed. I asked Castillo if he remembered seeing [REDACTED] by the guard station when he motioned to the CSA. Castillo said he did not see [REDACTED]. I asked what would lead him to believe that [REDACTED] saw [REDACTED] go to the ground. Castillo said [REDACTED] was on the ground for an unknown amount of time and they did not attempt to pick [REDACTED] up immediately. Castillo claimed his focus was on controlling the event and believed [REDACTED] arrived on scene sometime after [REDACTED] went to the ground and before he was picked up.

Sergeant Graham questioned Castillo on his claim that [REDACTED] was disrespectful to [REDACTED] during the Disciplinary Hearing. Sergeant Graham asked if [REDACTED] gave him any direction on how to handle [REDACTED] due to his poor demeanor or did he [REDACTED] just walk away. Castillo said [REDACTED] just walked away. [REDACTED] did not appear upset, but did seem frustrated because [REDACTED] would not allow [REDACTED] to talk. [REDACTED] attempted to talk to [REDACTED] for quite a while, but eventually gave up and left. Sergeant Graham asked Castillo if he felt [REDACTED] performed his role as a supervisor adequately and Castillo said [REDACTED] was adequate in his performance, but just walked away when [REDACTED] would not allow him to talk.

Sergeant Graham asked Castillo if it was necessary to search [REDACTED] after Sergeant [REDACTED] left, especially since [REDACTED] had been unsecured for more than fifteen minutes with no physical altercation. Castillo said the search was to locate any contraband [REDACTED] may have had on him. Sergeant Graham asked Castillo if he heard Dorn say any disparaging comments to [REDACTED] during the search and he said he could not recall. Castillo could not account for Dorn's logic or why Dorn searched in the manner he did. Sergeant Graham asked Castillo why he chose to search [REDACTED] a second time and he said it wasn't his decision; he was working in a backup capacity.

I showed Castillo the surveillance video of [REDACTED] in the vestibule. The video documented the Module C South Vestibule. The video captured [REDACTED] conducting a Disciplinary Hearing with [REDACTED]. I gave Castillo the option of watching the entire video or asked him if he wanted me to jump to the sections of the video I believed were relevant to the investigation. Castillo said he would prefer that I show him the sections of the video that I deemed relevant.



The video section I showed Castillo started at 14:20:25. Dorn approached [REDACTED] and began searching him. Castillo did not know why Dorn was searching [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] feet are spread apart so wide that he appeared to slouch to the ground, but then is pressed against the wall. [REDACTED] attempts to stand up from this position and Dorn immediately uses his right foot to knock [REDACTED] right foot back into a position where [REDACTED] legs are spread apart. Deputy Castillo approaches [REDACTED] and partially blocks the camera's field of view. [REDACTED] appears to slump against the wall again. I couldn't determine if [REDACTED] purposely allowed himself to become dead weight or if this position was due to Dorn having [REDACTED] feet spread so far apart he was unable to support himself. Castillo claimed during the search [REDACTED] was not following Dorn's commands and was purposely allowing his body to become dead weight. I asked Castillo if he believed the reason [REDACTED] was becoming dead weight was due to the distance his feet were spread and Castillo said he believed [REDACTED] was allowing his upper body to go limp, which in return caused him to become dead weight.

I asked Castillo what kind of search was being conducted on [REDACTED] and he said "most likely a pat down search." I asked Castillo to explain how he was able to conduct a pat down search on a person that is essentially dead weight and not complying with commands. Castillo advised Dorn was conducting the search and he believed Dorn was merely searching the pockets of [REDACTED] trousers. I asked how the search was able to be completed when [REDACTED] chest was pressed against the wall and Castillo said he was not sure if Dorn had searched that area prior to pressing him against the wall. We watched the video a second time and I asked Castillo if it was reasonable to have [REDACTED] feet spread that far apart. Castillo believed it was because [REDACTED] could turn and this position minimized his ability to turn on him or Dorn. I asked Castillo, who was on patrol training, if he would search subjects in the field in the same manner and he quickly said, "No." Castillo admitted he does not search people with their feet spread that far apart.

The video shows Dorn and Castillo leaving the area to search [REDACTED] cell. At 14:38:15, Dorn and Castillo walk back into the vestibule after searching [REDACTED] cell. [REDACTED] is searched again and his pants are removed because the bottom of the pants had been modified. I questioned Castillo about the section of the video that documented [REDACTED] sliding down the wall. Castillo believed that was when [REDACTED] purposely went limp and it was not as a result of the actions of the search. I asked if [REDACTED] resisted at any point and Castillo said he was being passively resistant. I asked Castillo if there was another way he could have retrieved [REDACTED] modified jail issue. Castillo said in the past he had inmates simply remove modified jail issued clothing and hand it to him. Due to [REDACTED] demeanor, he was handcuffed and the decision was made to remove the items deemed contraband.

We continued watching and saw Dorn enter the guard station to use the remote door switch on the control panel to open the south vestibule door. We watched the video documenting the Module C South Alcove. Castillo and Dorn are escorting [REDACTED] to the detention bench. As they exit the door, Castillo felt a resistance and fell to the ground. I asked Castillo to pay attention to Dorn's left leg as [REDACTED] was being escorted into the alcove. In the video, Dorn's left leg moves in front of [REDACTED] legs. I asked Castillo if he would consider that a tripping motion and he said it could be, but he could not account for Dorn's actions.





Watching the video, Castillo could not explain why Dorn's leg would be placed in front of [REDACTED] as he walked. Castillo said he did not see Dorn place his leg in front of [REDACTED] at the time of the event and Dorn never made mention of it. We watched the video surveillance of the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor hallway south. In the video, [REDACTED] is seen falling to the ground. Dorn appears to be talking with [REDACTED] while they are on the ground, but Castillo could not recall what Dorn was saying. We watched the video of [REDACTED] being escorted to the detention bench. Castillo said [REDACTED] did not make any threatening remarks or comments to him while being escorted or placed on the bench.

I asked Castillo, after reviewing the video, if he believed the incident would be considered a reportable use of force and he said, "Yes." Castillo did not write a use of force report at the time because he was not instructed to and now recognized he should have. Representative Goldwasser asked Castillo if, at the time of the event, he felt he had been involved in a use of force and Castillo responded, "Not necessarily." I confirmed with Castillo that at the time of the event he did not feel he had been involved in a use of force and he said, "On my behalf, no." I asked if he believed a use of force took place and he said at the time of the event he was unaware [REDACTED] went to the ground as a result of Dorn's leg being placed in front of [REDACTED] as he walked.

Sergeant Graham asked Castillo, "You agree this could have been avoided right?" Castillo responded, "Yes sir." I asked Castillo if he would do anything differently and he said he would give his supervisor a detailed description of the event and clarify if a use of force report should be written. I asked if it was fair to say that Sergeant [REDACTED] could not have made that decision at the time because he wasn't given all the pertinent information and Castillo responded, "Fair to say." As far as the search, Castillo believed he could have talked to Dorn before the search took place to formulate a game plan. Castillo said he had been truthful during the interview. The interview concluded at 1105 hours.

Interview with Retired Sergeant [REDACTED] (Witness)



**Interview with Deputy Justin Dorn #8783 (Principal)**

**[Investigators note: My digital recording of this interview was not complete because the batteries went dead during the interview. A complete copy of the interview was provided to me by Representative Baumann]**

*On 11-4-16 at about 1212 hours, I conducted a recorded interview with Deputy Dorn. The following is a synopsis of the conversation. It is not verbatim, nor necessarily in order of conversation, and is organized for the purposes of clarity. This report is not meant to take the place of the actual recording. It is just a synopsis. For complete details refer to the recording of the interview.*

I spoke to Deputy Justin Dorn #8783 about Sheriff's Personnel Investigation #16-083. Present during the interview were Sergeant Graham and Representative Robert Baumann from Adams, Ferrone, and Ferrone. Deputy Dorn understood he was a Principal in the investigation. Deputy Dorn read and signed his Advisement of Public Safety Officer Procedural Bill of Rights and had no questions. Deputy Dorn read and signed the Confidentiality Directive and had no questions. Deputy Dorn understood everything we talked about was confidential and he was being ordered not to discuss the investigation with anyone outside of Internal Affairs or his representative.

Deputy Dorn read his Miranda Warning and understood each of his Miranda Rights. Deputy Dorn did not have any questions about his Miranda Rights and was not willing to waive his Miranda Rights to speak to me. Deputy Dorn read his Lybarger Warning and understood with the interview being an administrative investigation he was being compelled to answer my questions and if he did not, he could be subject to a charge of insubordination.



Deputy Dorn has been employed by the Orange County Sheriff's Department since December of 2011. Deputy Dorn is currently assigned to the Central Men's Jail as the Safety Check Prowler. Deputy Dorn was familiar with the OCSD policies/procedures and where to locate them if he needed to reference them.

Deputy Dorn recalled performing a safety check in which a subject, later identified as Inmate [REDACTED] yelled "Fuck you Dorn and your majors". Dorn recognized this comment as a Major Rule violation for Disrespect to Staff and immediately used the PA system in the tank to call for the inmate that made the comment to identify himself. No inmate came forward so Dorn completed his safety check. Later in his shift, another unidentified inmate told Dorn that Inmate [REDACTED] wanted to talk to him. Dorn allowed [REDACTED] to exit his cell and walk toward the Module C south vestibule so he could talk to him. Dorn could not recall if the conversation between him and [REDACTED] took place in the vestibule or at the entry way to the vestibule. **[Investigators note: The entry way to the south vestibule is separated by a set of bars controlled by sworn staff and leads to the beach area of the module]** Dorn documented [REDACTED] disrespect on a Major Rules Violation Report for the incident. I asked Dorn if the report he submitted (MJ051216/1330) documented the entire incident accurately. Dorn said the report documented the rule violation.

Dorn believed [REDACTED] was released from his cell to talk about the disrespectful comment he yelled and the conversation took place between the bars that lead from the vestibule to the beach entry area. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn why he felt the need to remove [REDACTED] from his cell to have a conversation. Dorn said he was also going to write Sachs up for his comment. Once again, Sergeant Graham asked Dorn why he felt the need to remove Sachs from the cell, when he could have written Sachs up and served him his notice of Major rules violation with him in the cell. Dorn had [REDACTED] exit his cell because it was what he felt was proper at the time because he did not want to talk to [REDACTED] in front of the other inmates. Dorn intended to have a conversation with [REDACTED] and find out if there was a bigger issue regarding his poor demeanor. Dorn said in his experience inmates won't talk in front of other inmates.

Dorn struggled to remember if he was speaking with [REDACTED] through the closed bars between the vestibule and the beach area when he received a call over the steno-phone telling him Sergeant [REDACTED] was enroute. [REDACTED] was going to conduct a discipline hearing for a separate rule violation [REDACTED] had been written up for a few days prior. Dorn believed while talking to [REDACTED] through the closed bars, he received the steno notification and since [REDACTED] was out, he had [REDACTED] enter the vestibule so [REDACTED] could conduct his discipline hearing. I asked Dorn if he searched inmates in the vestibule prior to conducting a counseling/discipline hearing with a Sergeant and he said he did not and it was not common. [REDACTED] was disrespectful during his interview and often interrupted Sergeant [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] did not make any threats towards staff, but was agitated and had to be told a couple times to keep his hands in his pocket and not to raise his voice during the course of the hearing. [REDACTED] argued with Sergeant [REDACTED] over the definition of contraband and what items were deemed as contraband. During the interview, [REDACTED] pointed out to [REDACTED] he had two items of contraband on his person; his altered pants and altered wristband.

After the interview was complete, [REDACTED] left the module area. [REDACTED] did not give any direction to the deputies on how to deal with [REDACTED] who was agitated, nor did [REDACTED] stand by to see if [REDACTED] would comply with the deputy's directives. I asked Dorn what he did after [REDACTED] left. Dorn referred to his Major Rules violation report (MJ051216/1330) and recalled he spoke to [REDACTED] about his altered clothing and wanted to search [REDACTED] cell for more contraband.



Prior to searching [REDACTED] cell, Dorn searched [REDACTED] because [REDACTED] was not following directives given to him. Dorn decided to place [REDACTED] in handcuffs. I asked Dorn if he could describe the search technique he used on [REDACTED] and he said he could not without viewing the video. I asked if [REDACTED] was resisting during the search process. Dorn said from what his report documented and from what he could remember, [REDACTED] did not want to spread his feet apart. I asked Dorn if he could recall what Dorn did when [REDACTED] did not comply with the directive to spread his feet. Dorn could not recall without reviewing the video.

I asked Dorn if [REDACTED] was threatening him or Castillo or if [REDACTED] was pushing off of the wall during the search process. Dorn could not recall [REDACTED] making any statements. I asked if [REDACTED] kicked at him or Castillo or if [REDACTED] tried to assault them in any way. Dorn could not recall what [REDACTED] was doing without watching the surveillance video and there was no mention of assaultive actions by [REDACTED] in his report. The report documents [REDACTED] not spreading his feet when directed to do so. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn if he recalled the incident and Dorn said he did, but it was six (6) months ago. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn what he did remember about the event. Dorn said, "I remember searching him, sitting him down, going in his cell, searching that, going back and taking off his altered clothing and taking him to the bench."

I asked Dorn to estimate how many inmates he had searched over the course of his career and he estimated in the hundreds if not thousands. I asked if anything about his search with [REDACTED] stood out to him. I asked if the search was aggressive. Dorn paused and replied, "The search, yes it was more aggressive." I asked Dorn to describe how this search was more aggressive. Dorn did not respond, so I asked if he recalled pressing [REDACTED] against the wall. Dorn replied, "I need to see the video" and did not recall. I asked if he recalled [REDACTED] allowing his weight to become dead weight. Dorn said he did recall [REDACTED] allowing his body to become dead weight when they were escorting him to the detention bench. I asked Dorn if he recalled kicking [REDACTED] feet out to a wide stance that is outside the normal scope of the way a typical search is conducted. Dorn replied, "I don't remember doing that, but I could have." Sergeant Graham pointed out to Dorn that Dorn described the search as more aggressive and Dorn said he needed to see the video so he could describe how it was more aggressive. I asked Dorn if he was frustrated with [REDACTED] not only because he was refusing to follow his directives, but because of his demeanor toward [REDACTED] earlier. Dorn said he was not frustrated or upset in any way.

I asked Dorn why he placed [REDACTED] in handcuffs and he said because [REDACTED] was not following his directives to spread his feet apart. Due to the way [REDACTED] conducted himself earlier by yelling "fuck you" to Dorn, his argumentative demeanor toward [REDACTED] and not complying with his directives to spread his feet, Dorn felt [REDACTED] was showing signs of aggression. This combined with the fact that [REDACTED] was in for a high profile murder case caused Dorn alarm. I asked Dorn if he called for a Sergeant to respond to the location due to [REDACTED] demeanor and he said he did not because he did not think it was necessary at the time.

Dorn searched [REDACTED] cell and found contraband before returning to the vestibule. Once in the vestibule, Dorn removed [REDACTED] altered clothing and extra pair of boxers, but could not recall how he removed these articles of clothing. [REDACTED] could not give these items to Dorn because he was handcuffed behind his back at the time. Dorn has removed extra jail issue in this fashion before, but has also had the inmates remove the articles themselves and hand them to him. Once the items were removed, Dorn decided to move [REDACTED] to the detention bench with the assistance of Deputy Castillo. Dorn felt [REDACTED] needed to go to the detention bench because he needed to "chill out for a little bit."



I asked if [REDACTED] was going to be removed from his cell so he could be interviewed by Classification Deputies. Dorn said that was an option, but that was not his mindset at the time. I asked Dorn if there was a large amount of contraband on the ground near [REDACTED] cell since they had just searched it and he said yes. Dorn thought his mindset might have been to place [REDACTED] on the detention bench because he did not want [REDACTED] to "fish" from the pile of contraband. Dorn went on to say that often inmates are not placed back in their cell immediately after a search because inmate workers have not had time to dispose of the contraband. At the time Dorn placed [REDACTED] on the bench, his intention was to change out his clothing. I asked Dorn if he could have simply handed [REDACTED] clothing in the vestibule or could he have sent [REDACTED] back to his cell and gave him the clothing then. Dorn said he did not know.

As Dorn and Castillo escorted [REDACTED] out of the vestibule, [REDACTED] "goes to the ground." Dorn did not document [REDACTED] going to the ground in his major rules report because he felt the report is meant to only document rule violations and is not a DR. I pointed out in the report Dorn documented escorting [REDACTED] to the detention bench, which has nothing to do with a jail rule violation. I asked if we are trained to write accurate and concise reports and he said yes. I asked if he believed everything of relevance should be documented in a report when writing a report and he said yes. I asked if [REDACTED] going to the ground was of relevance in regards to the event and Dorn replied, "Yes." Dorn felt documenting [REDACTED] going to the ground was not necessary at the time.

I asked Dorn if [REDACTED] went to the ground as result of being pushed or tripped and he said he could not recall. He needed to see the video. Dorn remembered [REDACTED] going "dead weight", but did not recall placing his left foot in front of [REDACTED] in an attempt to trip him. Dorn did not purposely trip him. [REDACTED] did not complain of any pain as a result of the incident. [REDACTED] came out of the third floor guard station and saw [REDACTED] on the ground with Castillo and Dorn on top of him. Dorn corrected himself and said he was not sure if [REDACTED] saw [REDACTED] on the ground, but did remember walking [REDACTED] down the hallway past [REDACTED].

After placing [REDACTED] on the detention bench, Dorn went to the third floor guard station and had a conversation with [REDACTED]. Dorn told [REDACTED] what occurred with [REDACTED] after he left from the discipline hearing. Dorn claimed he gave [REDACTED] a "play by play" of what occurred and [REDACTED] was aware [REDACTED] went to the ground. I asked if he gave [REDACTED] a detailed account of the incident in the vestibule. Dorn said, "I explained to him the whole incident, yeah." [REDACTED] asked if there was a code alpha and Dorn advised there was not. Dorn told [REDACTED] he was going to write [REDACTED] up for a Major Rule violation and [REDACTED] said, "That sounds good."

I asked if [REDACTED] inquired about how [REDACTED] ended up on the ground and Dorn believed he told [REDACTED] he was walking [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] went "dead weight", falling to the ground. I asked Dorn if he informed [REDACTED] that he had placed his leg in front of [REDACTED] as he walked, causing him to fall to the ground. Dorn responded, "No." I asked Dorn if he recalled telling [REDACTED] that he had applied force to [REDACTED] during his contact with him and he said not in those words. Dorn explained, "I told [REDACTED] ok we searched him, then we stood him... I mean we placed cuffs on him, went to his cell, went back to him, took off his, I took off his pants and his boxers and we brought him to the detention bench." This information was given in the guard station and CSA [REDACTED] was present. [REDACTED]  
Dorn believed [REDACTED] heard the conversation with [REDACTED]



*Prior to showing the video, Dorn requested a break so he could get some water and speak with Representative Baumann.*

I showed Dorn video C109 Module C South Vestibule video. Dorn and Representative Baumann agreed to begin the viewing of the video at about the 19:00 minute mark on the player. The video showed Dorn and Castillo searching [REDACTED]. I asked Dorn why he felt the need to search [REDACTED] at this point, since he had been in the vestibule for about nineteen (19) minutes and forty five seconds without being searched. I pointed out that during that time [REDACTED] was in a confined area with sworn staff (Sergeant and two Deputies) and no one attempted a search. Dorn said he was going to leave the area to search [REDACTED] cell and [REDACTED] would be in the vestibule unattended. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn, "Is it more of an officer safety issue to have an inmate sit alone not searched or have an inmate not searched with employees there?" Dorn paused and said, "It's more of an officer safety, yeah if employees are there." Sergeant Graham pointed out that [REDACTED] was not searched prior to the interview taking place, even though he was going to be in close proximity of staff. Yet once the Sergeant left the area and [REDACTED] was going to be left alone, Dorn felt the need to search [REDACTED]. I asked Dorn why he felt a need to search at that point as opposed to when [REDACTED] was first brought into a confined area with staff. Dorn said he never searched inmates prior to discipline hearings and could not recall what his mindset was on that day.

I asked Dorn what he was searching for. Dorn said he was searching for contraband or any item in [REDACTED] pocket that he was not to have. At the 19:45 mark on the video, [REDACTED] stands up after having his feet spread apart in a fairly wide stance. I asked Dorn what was his mindset when [REDACTED] stood up and if [REDACTED] was trying to regain his balance. Dorn advised [REDACTED] was leaning against the wall while he had a hold of [REDACTED] hand, so he was not going to fall backwards. Dorn felt [REDACTED] standing up was an aggressive move because he was not following directions. Dorn believed [REDACTED] could use this move to turn around and "do something."

I asked Dorn if he was attempting remove the contraband that [REDACTED] had identified a few minutes earlier during his discipline hearing and he said he could not recall. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn if he could see the items [REDACTED] had identified as contraband on [REDACTED] and he said he could. In the video, Dorn removes his handcuffs and places [REDACTED] in restraints. I asked Dorn if there was a reason he did not remove the contraband from [REDACTED] at that time since he said earlier that he searched [REDACTED] to locate contraband. Dorn said he could not think of a reason, but he wanted to search [REDACTED] cell. I asked Dorn, after viewing the video, did he believe any of his actions while searching [REDACTED] to be a use of force. Dorn responded, "Now seeing that, yes." I asked Dorn if he considered his search of [REDACTED] prior to searching the cell, a use of force at the time he did it and he said, "I honestly don't know." I asked Dorn, since watching the video, did he believe a use of force took place involving him and [REDACTED]. Dorn said, "Yea, I'm using force to overcome resistance."

I gave Dorn and Representative Baumann the option of watching the video in real time or I would fast forward to the point of the video where Dorn returns from searching [REDACTED] cell. Both Dorn and Baumann agreed to move the video forward. As Dorn walked into the vestibule, [REDACTED] asked Dorn, "Do you feel good about yourself, cocksucker?" This statement did not upset Dorn, instead he committed the statement to memory to use it in his report.



In the video, Dorn is seen searching [REDACTED] again, but Dorn could not tell me why he conducted a second search. In the video, Dorn can be seen removing [REDACTED] jail issued pants and one of the two pair of jail issued underwear he is wearing. Dorn could not remember [REDACTED] resisting or saying anything. If [REDACTED] had assaulted or attempted to assault either Dorn or Castillo, Dorn would have documented the event on a crime report. Dorn is seen trying to remove the jail issued underwear in the video. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn if he was upset at this point and Dorn said he was not, he was just trying to remove the extra jail issue.

In the video, Dorn is seen pushing [REDACTED] against the wall. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn why he didn't have [REDACTED] sit on the ground and remove the extra jail issue instead of standing him up and pressing him against the wall. Dorn said he couldn't say. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn if he had another option he could use to remove the extra issues from [REDACTED] Dorn replied, "I don't want to even say that, I don't know. I am telling you what, what, what was going through my head at the time." Sergeant Graham asked if the second search could have been avoided. Dorn said anything was possible. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn if he had ever had an inmate sit on the ground and remove his extra issue, then had the inmate hand it to him. Dorn said he was sure it has happened.

In the video, Dorn leaves the vestibule to open the Module C alcove door via the control panel. Dorn returns from the Mod Office and presses [REDACTED] against the wall. Dorn makes a stepping motion between [REDACTED] legs, which Dorn believed was him stepping on the extra jail issue underwear to get them to slide down [REDACTED] legs. Dorn pulls a white object off of [REDACTED] person, throwing the object on the ground. Dorn believed the white object was either [REDACTED] extra issue underwear or a piece of [REDACTED] shirt because after seeing the video he believed [REDACTED] jail issued shirt was torn. Dorn could recall if he ripped [REDACTED] shirt off him or not. Dorn said after reviewing the video, he believed the act of him pulling [REDACTED] leg up and removing his underwear was a use of force. Dorn could not recall if [REDACTED] ever attempted to push himself away from the wall, but did remember [REDACTED] refusing to spread his feet when directed to do so.

In the video (14:41:42), Dorn escorts [REDACTED] toward the alcove door and it appears one of Dorn's legs hits the front of [REDACTED] legs. Dorn said it was not done on purpose and could not explain how it occurred. Sergeant Graham asked what [REDACTED] demeanor was like after being searched the second time. Dorn said in watching the video, when they attempted to escort [REDACTED] out of the alcove it appeared he was resisting. Dorn could not recall [REDACTED] yelling but did remember he was "dead weight and difficult to walk." Dorn felt he needed Deputy Castillo to assist with the escort so they could maintain control at all time.

We continued the video viewing by watching the Module C South Alcove video. The video shows (14:41:42) Dorn placing his left leg in front of [REDACTED] as they escorted him out of the vestibule. I asked Dorn to pay attention to his feet in relation to [REDACTED] I stopped the video and asked Dorn what it appeared he was doing at the 14:41:42 mark of the video. Dorn said, "Like he's getting tripped." I asked Dorn if he tried to trip [REDACTED] on purpose and he said "No." I asked Dorn if he could explain how his leg ended up in front of [REDACTED] and he recalled [REDACTED] going "dead weight and being difficult to maneuver." In the video (14:41:45), Dorn is seen exiting the vestibule and again he places his left leg directly in front of [REDACTED] legs as they escort him. Dorn says his leg was in front of [REDACTED] legs because he was rushing to get through the door. As a result of this, [REDACTED] went to the ground. Dorn claimed it was an accident and that he did not trip [REDACTED] on purpose. I asked Dorn if he pushed on the back of [REDACTED] forcing him to the ground and he said he did not.



In the video labeled 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Hallway South, we watched as [REDACTED] fell to the ground with Dorn and Castillo placing their knees in his back. Dorn believed he and Castillo had [REDACTED] under control and advised other staff in the area there was no need for assistance. After watching the video, Dorn realized [REDACTED] did not enter the hallway until after [REDACTED] was lifted to his feet. Dorn believed [REDACTED] heard the commotion of [REDACTED] going to the ground because Dorn was using a "stern voice" when he asked [REDACTED] if he was going to comply with directives. Due to the hall being comprised of concrete and brick, noise travels easily, which is why other deputies responded to the area.

I asked Dorn if there was policy that governed the use of the detention bench and he said inmates could be placed on the bench for two (2) hours. I asked if the detention bench could be used for discipline. Dorn could not answer the question without referring to policy first. Dorn said [REDACTED] did not resist or attempt to assault any staff when placed on the detention bench.


I asked Dorn, after viewing the video, was he sure he conveyed to [REDACTED] the manner in which he conducted the two searches. I asked if [REDACTED] was aware that [REDACTED] feet were kicked apart, that [REDACTED] underwear were ripped from his leg, and that [REDACTED] went to the ground, possibly as a result of his Dorn's leg being placed in front of him. I asked if [REDACTED] was completely aware of all these details. Dorn did not hesitate when he responded, "Yes." **[Investigators note: In Deputy Castillo's interview, he said he did not believe [REDACTED] was told [REDACTED] went to the ground]** Dorn said he was looking for direction from [REDACTED]. I confirmed with Dorn that he believed after watching the video, a use of force did in fact take place involving [REDACTED] and he said yes. Dorn said at the time he did not believe a use of force took place because the sergeant did not direct him to write a report. I questioned Dorn and asked if he was familiar with the use of force policy and he said he was. I said, based off of his understanding of a reportable use of force, shouldn't he have written a use of force report. Dorn said yes, which is why he told Sergeant [REDACTED] the details of the event, but [REDACTED] did not direct him to write a report. Sergeant Graham asked if [REDACTED] asked if force was used and Dorn could not remember if he used the word force.

I asked Dorn why he considered the event a use of force now and at the time he did not. Dorn said because he was sitting in a nice calm, relaxed office environment where he could break down the event as opposed to day of the event. Dorn said, "Yes I made a mistake and you know I didn't realize it was a use of force at the time." I asked Dorn if [REDACTED] at any point, during the event or after complained of being in pain as a result of his contact and he said he did not. Sergeant Graham asked Dorn if after watching the video he believed his actions were appropriate and professional, then gave examples of kicking [REDACTED] feet apart and ripping his underwear off. Dorn said, "No they were not." I asked Dorn if he would do something differently in regards to the incident and he said he would write a use of force report for kicking [REDACTED] feet and for [REDACTED] going to the ground. Dorn said he had been truthful and honest during the interview, which ended at 1338 hours.

**Summary of interview with Deputy Sheriff Trainee [REDACTED] (Witness)**







*Based off the discrepancies in statements by those interviewed I requested and received more surveillance footage of the south hallway for the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor.*

The additional video shows [REDACTED] placed on the detention bench. Two deputies walk from the area of the detention bench and enter the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor guard station at 14:43:47 hours. At 14:56:39, two deputies can be seen exiting the guard station and walk toward Module A. This area near Module A has a room that holds extra inmate clothing. At 15:03:20 Deputies can be seen removing [REDACTED] from the area of the detention bench and escorting him toward Module C. At 15:03:52 Inmate [REDACTED] enters into Module C wearing inmate trousers.

This video confirms Castillo and Dorn entered the guard station after the event. Both Dorn and Castillo say this is when they told [REDACTED] about the incident. Castillo did not tell [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] went to the ground while being escorted. Dorn stated he gave a complete and accurate description of the event to [REDACTED] but was not directed to write a Use of Force. Dorn says this conversation took place and that CSA [REDACTED] (now a Deputy Sheriff Trainee) was in the guard station and might have heard the conversation. [REDACTED] did not remember Dorn or Castillo entering the guard station to speak to [REDACTED] about the incident. [REDACTED] was confused about the incident and remembered talking to deputies about an inmate going to the ground, but did not believe the incident involved [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] did not recall having any conversation with Deputy Dorn or Deputy Castillo regarding [REDACTED].

#### **Included for Review**

- Initial Action
- Investigative Summary
- Watch list
- Internal memo's
- Grievance submitted by Inmate [REDACTED]
- Photos of Inmate [REDACTED] right hand
- Copy of Jail Incident Report and Advice of Punishment for Inmate [REDACTED]
- (3) CD's of Main Jail video surveillance
- Envelope containing (1) CD with video interview of [REDACTED] and (1) CD with pictures of inmate [REDACTED]
- CD of Internal Affairs Interview



# Orange County Sheriff's Department Watch List for: CMJ

Watch List Date: Thursday, 0. 2/2016 5/12/2016

Printed on: 10/24/2016 9:11:13

SHIFT 1							
Post	On Duty	PID	Comment	Absent	PID	Comment	Radio / Unit
Division Commander Shift 1 - 0600-1630	Baker, W (0600 - 1630)	893					
Admin LT Shift 1 - 0500-1530	Gardner, Robert (0500 - 1530)	3956					
L101B - Watch Comander Shift 1-0500-1730	Puckett, J. (0500 - 1730)	4957.					
A690 - Admin Sgt Shift 1 - 0600-1630 A	Van Patten, James SR (0600 - 1630)	6313 TLO, SRT					
A691 - CST Sergeant Shift 1 - 0600-1630 A	Hibbs, Chris (0530 - 1600)	3934 SGT					
A692-Pro-per Sgt. Shift 1 - 0600-1630				Bogosian, J	162*	AL-Vacation (1I)	
S101B - Operations Sgt. Shift 1-0500-1730	Voght, Don (0500 - 1730)	1077					
S103B - 3rd Floor Sgt. Shift 1-0500-1730	Jurado, Rick (0500 - 1730)	783					
S104B - 4th Floor Sgt. Shift 1-0500-1730	Rupley, M. DRE (0500 - 1200)	5885		Rupley, M. DRE	5885	AL-Vacation (1I)	
S104B - 4th Floor Sgt. Shift 1-0500-1730	Walehwa, Joses (1500 - 1700) (15:00-17:00) 2:00 1I Vaca/Annual LV	1428					
S105B - Vacation Relief Shift 1-0500-1730				VACANT SGT.	*****	Vacant (1H)	
105 - Worker Deputy Shift 1 - 0600-1630 A	Marlin, Kevin (0600 - 1630)	3356					
106 - ADA Deputy (PIERC Shift 1 - 0600-1630 A				Miller, Ron	3722	AL-Vacation (1I)	
107 - Admin/FLS Shift 1 - 0600-1630 A	Mette, John SRT (0600 - 1630)	9062 TLO					
107A - Admin/Projects Shift 1 - 0600-1630 A	Skutvik, Ryan (0600 - 1630)	9521					
107B Admin CSA Shift 1 - 0600-1630	Ludwig, Brigitte (0600 - 1630)	9421 TLO					
108A-IPT Shift 1-0800-1700	Rivas,Susy (0800 - 1700)	1878 Spanish					
109B-Basement Prowler Shift 1 - 0630-1900				Hamilton, Patrick	3342 TLO		
110B - Basement Prowler Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Stewart, Robert (0630 - 1900)	07394					
111B - 1st Prowler Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Grover, W (0630 - 1500) (06:30-15:00) 8:30 1H Vacant	1068 Adj Hours		VACANT 16	VACANT 16	Vacant (1H)	
112B - AB Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Contreras, Roberto (0630 - 1900) (06:30-19:00) 12:30 1I Vaca/Annual LV	9317 (Spanish)		Juarez, Jorge	8897*	AL-Vacation (1I)	

# Orange County Sheriff's Department Watch List for: CMJ

Watch List Date: Thursday, 06/22/2016

Printed on: 10/24/2016 9:11:13

113B - Main Control Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Trujillo, Nicholas 8828 TLO (0630 - 1900) (06:30-19:00) 12:30 1H Vacant	VACANT 22	VACANT 22 Vacant (1H)	
114B - Main Control Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Rodriguez, Stephanie 9779 (0630 - 1900)			
117B - Safety Chk 3rd F Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Shaw, Eric 0823 (0630 - 1900) (06:30-19:00) 12:30 1H Vaca/Annual LV	Rombough, Phillip	9070 Spanish, TLO AL-Vacation (1H)	
118B - Safety Chk 4th F Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Escobar, Ernesto E 8973 JTO (0630 - 1900)			
118B - Safety Chk 4th F Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Smith, Justin 9884 (0630 - 1900) Trainee			
120B - 2nd Guard Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Butler, Shane 8690 (0630 - 1900)			
121B - Mod O Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Foot, Charles 5582 (0630 - 1900)			
122B - 2nd Prwl (MFF) Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Vanwyke, Jerrald E 1009 (0630 - 1900) (06:30-19:00) 12:30 1H Vaca/Annual LV	Castiglia, Arthur	91 TLO AL-Vacation (1H)	
123B - 2nd Prowler Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Mattsson, Troy 6170 Spanish, TLO (0630 - 1900)			
124B - 2nd Prowler Shift 1 - 0430-1700	Maracine, Peter 5001 (0230 - 1500)			
130B - 3rd Guard Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Garcia, Luis 8824 TLO (0630 - 1900)			
131B - Mod A Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Longcob, Nikolas 9815 (0630 - 1900)			
132B - Mod B Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Palomares-Velasco, C 4176* (0630 - 1900)			
133B - Mod C Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Dorn, J 8783 (0630 - 1900)			
134B - Mod A Prowler Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Castillo, Daniel 9110 (0630 - 1900)			
135B - Mod B Prowler Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Lucero, Arthur 5574 Spanish (0430 - 1700) Adj Hours (04:30-17:00) 12:30 1H Vaca/Annual LV	McGraw, Joseph	1239 TLO AL-Vacation (1H)	
136B - Mod C Prowler (M) Shift 1 - 0430-1700	Clifton, D 7351 (0430 - 1700)			
137B - 3rd Prowler Shift 1 - 0430-1700	Quenzler, Jerry ER 9300 (0430 - 1700) (04:30-17:00) 12:30 1H Vacant	VACANT 15	VACANT 15 Vacant (1H)	
138B - 3rd Prowler Shift 1-1000-2230	Slouka, Joseph 6441 TLO (0630 - 1900) Adj Hours (06:30-19:00) 12:30 1Q [REDACTED]	Pineda, William	3160 [REDACTED]	
140B - 4th Guard Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Mossett, Daniel 9776 (0630 - 1900) (06:30-19:00) 12:30 1H Vacant	Vacant 52	Vacant 52 Vacant (1H)	
141B - Mod D Shift 1 - 0630-1900	Rodriguez, Rigoberto 9146 (0630 - 1900)			

**ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  
INTERNAL MEMO**



**TO:** Internal Affairs  
**FROM:** Commander Jon J. Briggs  
**DATE:** Thursday, June 30, 2016  
**RE:** Personnel Investigation Request

---

I concur with the enclosed request for Personnel Investigation by Captain Baker. Please initiate a Personnel Investigation.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jon J. Briggs".

Commander Jon J. Briggs  
Orange County Sheriff's Department  
Custody Operations Command  
(714) 647-1842



**ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  
INTERNAL MEMO**



**TO:** Commander J. Briggs  
**FROM:** Captain W. Baker  
**DATE:** June 28, 2016  
**RE:** Request for Internal Affairs Investigation

On May 23, 2016, I received an Internal Memo from Lieutenant S. Ostash, alleging Deputies J. Dorn #8783 and D. Castillo #9110 were involved in an unreported use of force against inmate [REDACTED] booking [REDACTED]. In addition to Lieutenant Ostash's memo, the following documents and DVD's were provided to me:

- An Internal Memo written by Sergeant D. Beeler, dated May 20, 2016
- An Inmate's Advice of Punishment form, incident #051206/1330
- An Inmate's Advisement of Punishment form, incident #051216/1745
- Jail Incident Report #MJ051216/1330
- Jail Incident Report #MJ051216/1745
- Three Inmate Grievance/Grievance Appeal forms
- One photograph depicting [REDACTED] right wrist
- One photograph depicting [REDACTED] right hand
- Three DVDs
  - One containing several fixed camera video depictions of the incident
  - One containing an a video interview with [REDACTED] and Sergeant Beeler
  - One containing 19 photographs of [REDACTED]

Based on my review of Lieutenant Ostash's memo, the attached and above mentioned documentation, and the video and photographs depicted on the three DVD's, it appears Deputies Dorn and Castillo were involved in a use of force involving inmate [REDACTED] which they did not report, in violation of several Orange County Sheriff's Department Policies and Procedures, including, but not limited to the following:

**300.2 POLICY**

*It is the policy of this department that deputies shall use only that amount of force that appears objectively reasonable, given the facts and circumstances perceived by the deputy at the time of the event, to effectively bring an incident under control. "Reasonableness" of the force used must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable deputy on the scene at the time of the incident. Any interpretation of reasonableness must allow for the fact the sheriff's deputies are often forced to make split-second decisions in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving about the amount of force that is objectively reasonable in a particular situation. Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation a deputy might encounter in the field, it is recognized that each deputy must be entrusted with well-reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident. While it is the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter to minimize injury to everyone involved, nothing in this policy requires a deputy to actually sustain physical injury before applying reasonable force. Law enforcement personnel shall use no more force than is objectively*



*reasonable to accomplish lawful objectives. The use of any force to accomplish unlawful objectives is prohibited. The Department will not tolerate excessive and/or punitive force.*

### **300.6 POST USE OF FORCE PROCEDURES**

*Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented by that member promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report depending on the nature of the incident. When documenting a Use of Force event, Department personnel may review OCSD audio/video recordings (e.g. PVS, jail facility cameras) as a means of ensuring factual accuracy and enhancing recollection. Use of such materials in preparation of reports shall be documented, and done on an individual rather than collective basis.*

### **300.7 WITNESS TO A USE OF FORCE**

*Any employee involved in or who witnesses a use of force shall notify a supervisor as soon as practical. Failure to notify a supervisor of a use of force may result in discipline pursuant to department policy. Such notification shall be made as soon as practical.*

### **300.8 IMMEDIATE NOTIFICATION TO SUPERVISORS AND SUPERVISORY RESPONSE REQUIRED**

*Supervisory notification shall be made as soon as reasonably possible following a use of force.*

### **1018.5 PERFORMANCE OF DUTY**

*Members shall perform their duties as required or directed by law, department rules/regulations, procedures, policies, or by order of a superior officer. All lawful duties required by a competent authority shall be performed promptly as directed.*

While Deputy Dorn details his contact with [REDACTED] prior to the use of force, in Jail Incident Report #MJ051216/1330, there is no mention of a use of force or Deputy Castillo's involvement in their contact with [REDACTED]. Therefore, I recommend this matter be forwarded to the Professional Standards Division for further evaluation and investigation.

For further details, see attached:

- Internal memo written by Lieutenant Ostash, dated May 22, 2016
- Internal Memo written by Sergeant D. Beeler, dated May 20, 2016
- Inmate's Advice of Punishment form, incident #051206/1330
- Inmate's Advisement of Punishment form, incident #051216/1745
- Three Inmate Grievance/Grievance Appeal forms
- Photograph depicting [REDACTED] right wrist
- Photograph depicting [REDACTED] right hand
- Three DVDs
- Jail Incident Report #MJ051216/1330
- Jail Incident Report #MJ051216/1745



**ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  
INTERNAL MEMO**



**TO:** Captain W. Baker  
**FROM:** Lieutenant S. Ostash  
**DATE:** 05-22-16  
**RE:** Allegation of Deputy Misconduct

On 05-19-16, Sergeant Beeler received an Inmate Grievance form from inmate [REDACTED] (Booking # [REDACTED]). In this Grievance, [REDACTED] stated that on 05-12-16 Deputies J. Dorn # 8783 and D. Castillo # 9110 assaulted him in the Central men's jail Module "C" south vestibule after he had a disciplinary hearing with an unnamed Sergeant who was later identified as Sergeant [REDACTED].

Sergeant Beeler interviewed [REDACTED] and reviewed the video surveillance footage of the area. [REDACTED] recollection of the incident is consistent with what the video footage shows, which is two deputies pinning him against a wall, kicking his feet apart, handcuffing him and then cutting jail-issue clothing off of him. The video then shows the same two deputies escorting [REDACTED] out to the main hallway. While they were walking, one of the deputies appeared to trip [REDACTED] several times. Sergeant Beeler identified the two deputies in the video as Dorn and Castillo. [REDACTED] stated he sustained a cut to his right wrist as a result of the handcuffs being applied too tightly.

Sergeant Beeler spoke to Sergeant [REDACTED] who stated he saw [REDACTED] being escorted in the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor hallway by deputies, but did not notice anything that indicated that force had just been used against him. Sergeant [REDACTED] also said that no deputies advised him that force was used against [REDACTED]. There is no documentation of a use of force incident involving [REDACTED] in the Central Men's Jail 24-Hour Log for that day.

Based on Sergeant Beeler's preliminary investigation of the incident, it appears that Deputies Dorn and Castillo were involved in a use of force incident involving inmate [REDACTED] and did not report it. I recommend that this matter be forwarded to OCSD Professional Standards Division for further review.

For further details, refer to:

- DVD of the video surveillance footage from the Module C vestibule
- DVD of Sergeant Beeler's interview of [REDACTED]
- Attached Internal Memo by Sergeant Beeler
- Attached copy of inmate [REDACTED] Inmate Grievance Form (J.I. # MJ052016/0351)
- Attached Copies of photographs of [REDACTED] wrist





**ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  
INTERNAL MEMO**



**TO:** Lt. S. Ostash  
**FROM:** Sgt. D. Beeler #3634  
**DATE:** 5-20-16  
**RE:** Allegation of Staff Misconduct

**Incident:** Allegation of Staff Misconduct  
**Inmate:** [REDACTED] Bkg# [REDACTED]  
**Staff Member(s):** Deputy J. Dorn #8783 and Deputy D. Castillo #9110  
**Date / Time:** Thursday, 5-12-16 @ approx. 1438 Hours

**Incident Synopsis:**

On Thursday/5-19-16, I received an "Inmate Grievance" from Inmate [REDACTED] Bkg# [REDACTED]. In the grievance, [REDACTED] made the following allegations:

On 5-12-16 at about 1330 hours, Deputies J. Dorn #8783 and D. Castillo #9110 pulled him out of his cell for a disciplinary hearing with a Sergeant. During this time, his cell was searched by the above Deputies where they proceeded to "trash his cell". After the Sergeant hearing, [REDACTED] was searched by the Deputies where he was roughed up, kicked, knocked to the ground, clothing ripped and his head stepped on by Deputy Castillo. During the incident, Deputy Dorn was provoking [REDACTED] to fight by calling him a "pussy", "bitch", "punk" and "piece of shit".

**Location of Incident:**

The incident took place in the Module C South Vestibule and Module C South Alcove in the Central Men's Jail.

**Interview with Inmate:**

On Friday/5-20-16 at about 2222 hours, I met with [REDACTED] on the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Hallway adjacent to Module C in the Central Men's Jail. I advised [REDACTED] I received his grievance and asked him to tell me about the incident. During the interview, Sgt. J. Harrington #5190 operated the video camera and Lt. S. Ostash was present. The following is a synopsis of what [REDACTED] told me:

He has been receiving a lot of write-ups lately for petty stuff. After the hearing with the Sergeant in the Mod C Vestibule area, Deputy Dorn and Castillo began to search him. They were pushing him against the wall and spreading his feet apart, causing him to fall. After being handcuffed, Deputy Dorn used an unknown tool to rip [REDACTED] shirt. After Deputy Dorn ripped his shirt, [REDACTED] was told he will be receiving a write-up for having an altered shirt. During the search, the Deputies took off his pants and ripped shirt, just leaving his smock and boxers on. After the search, Deputy Dorn and Castillo began to escort him out into the hallway through the alcove area. While walking through the alcove, Deputy Dorn swiped at [REDACTED] legs three times, attempting to knock him to the ground. As [REDACTED] would begin to fall, the Deputies would pick him up and tell him to walk or they would drag him. The Deputies eventually forced him to the ground just outside the alcove where Deputy Castillo pinned him down and put his foot on [REDACTED] head. They picked him up and began to walk him out of the alcove, holding his shirt back which made it difficult for him to breath. At that time, [REDACTED] saw the Sergeant who conducted his hearing exit the guard station. The Deputies stopped knocking him down walked him to the bench (3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Elevator #1 Vestibule-detention bench) where he was cuffed up. The handcuffs were placed on his wrist so tight, he suffered a small cut on his right inner wrist. [REDACTED] pointed to his wrist where I saw redness and an abrasion which appeared to be almost healed. [REDACTED] also added his right pinky finger was slightly sore. I looked at his pinky finger and saw slight redness on the inside of the knuckle. After being



placed on the detention bench, [REDACTED] said the Deputies gave him new clothing. I asked [REDACTED] if he desired medical attention for the injuries and he said they were almost healed and he refused. I took one photo of the injury to his wrist and one photo of his right pinky finger.

#### Interview with Sgt. [REDACTED]

On Friday/5-20-16 at approximately 2344 hours, I spoke with Sgt. [REDACTED]. Sgt. [REDACTED] recalls conducting a disciplinary hearing on 5-12-16 with [REDACTED] in Mod C. At about 1440 hours, he exited the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Guard Station and saw [REDACTED] being escorted to the detention bench by Deputies Dorn and Castillo. He did not see anything out of the ordinary and the Deputies did not advise him of any type of incident, use of force or altercation involving [REDACTED].


#### Video Evidence:

The area is monitored by recorded CCTV. I viewed video on Friday/5-21-16 reference the above described incident occurring on 5-12-16. At about 1401 hours (Camera C109), I see [REDACTED] walking out of the housing area and placing his back against the wall in the Mod C South Vestibule with Deputy Dorn standing in front of him. There is a second Deputy present but I am unable to identify him. At 1403 hours, I see Sgt. [REDACTED] appear to conduct the disciplinary hearing. At 1420 hours, Sgt. [REDACTED] concluded the hearing and walked away. Within a few seconds, I see Deputy Dorn approach [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] turned around and faced the wall. Deputy Dorn walked up to [REDACTED] grabbed his hands and began to conduct a search by spreading [REDACTED] legs and pressing him into the wall. As Deputy Dorn searched, I see Deputy Castillo walk up and assist by stepping to [REDACTED] left side. At 1422 hours, Deputy Dorn placed handcuffs on [REDACTED] and he sits down facing the wall. Both Deputies walk away into the Mod C housing area to conduct the search of [REDACTED] cell. At 1438 hours, Deputies Dorn and Castillo return to [REDACTED] who is still sitting and facing the wall. The Deputies are seen talking to [REDACTED]. At 1439 hours, [REDACTED] is stood up by Deputy Dorn where they begin to search [REDACTED] again. Deputy Castillo, standing on [REDACTED] left side, pulls [REDACTED] pants down and removes them. Deputy Castillo kicks the pants behind him. They continue to search [REDACTED] and at 1440 hours, it appears Deputy Dorn is tugging on [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] falls to the ground. The Deputies pick up [REDACTED] and place him back against the wall. Deputy Dorn walks away into the Mod C guard station. At 1441 hours, Deputy Dorn returns and steps to [REDACTED] right side. Deputy Dorn is using his right leg to remove an unknown article of clothing from [REDACTED]. Deputy Dorn and Castillo remove [REDACTED] from the wall and walk him out of view of Camera C109 into the Mod C South Alcove. Deputy Dorn and Castillo begin to walk [REDACTED] into the Mod C South Alcove (Camera C102). It appears Deputy Dorn uses his left leg in an attempt to trip [REDACTED] by sweeping his right leg. After exiting the alcove door into the hallway, I see Deputy Dorn sweep with his left again, causing [REDACTED] to fall forward to the ground. It appears the Deputies are struggling with [REDACTED] as he lays face down on the ground. As they struggle with [REDACTED] (Camera C104), I see the Deputies place their knees on his back, pinning him to the ground. Both Deputies are seen motioning for assistance towards the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Guard Station. I see Deputy [REDACTED] and Deputy [REDACTED] respond from the guard stations. Deputy Dorn and Castillo are seen walking [REDACTED] to the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Elevator #1 Vestibule. At 1442 hours (Camera C98), [REDACTED] is handcuffed to the detention bench and the Deputies exit.

#### Recommendations:

I checked the 24 Hour Jail Operations Log and the Corrections Sergeant Log for 5-12-16 and I did not see any entry for a use of force. Based on my interview with [REDACTED] and after reviewing the CCTV video, I recommend that this incident be forwarded for further review.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Sgt. D. Beeler #3634



**Grievance****MJ052016/0351**Inmate Grievance Help  
Documents**General**

**Jl#** MJ052016/0351  
**Facility** Central Men's Jail  
**Tank**  
**Incident Date** 5/12/2016 2:38 PM  
**Sgt. Making Entry** David Beeler  
**Shared** No  
**Shared With**

**Date of Input** 5/21/2016 11:23 PM  
**Module**  
**Cell**  
**Date Grievance Received** 5/19/2016  
**Status** Inactive  
**Date of Sharing**

**Inmate**

**Booking#**   
**Housing Facility** MJ  
**Housing Tank** 13  
**Inmate/Detainee** Inmate  
**Pro Per** No

**Inmate Name**   
**Housing Module** C  
**Housing Cell** 06  
**ICE** No  
**ADA Related** No

**Detail**


For these types of grievances, when you finish your portion, please **Assign** (Change the Owner) to your **Admin Sgt.** Then Admin Sgt. can **Share** them with HCA or Inmate Services staff.

- Medical
- Commissary
- Correctional Programs
- Food Services
- Law Library
- Records

For this type of grievances, when you finish your portion, please **Assign** (Change the Owner) to your **Lt.:**


- Staff Misconduct (Notify W/C)

**Original Scanned** Yes**Owner** Jeffrey Puckett

<b>Grievance Type</b>	Staff Misconduct (Notify W/C)	<b>PREA</b>	No
<b>Lt Reviewing</b>	 <u>Jeffrey Puckett</u>	<b>Date of Reviewing</b>	
<b>Disposition by Lt</b>			
<b>Synopsis of Grievance</b>	On 5-12-16 at about 1330 hours, Deputies pulled inmate [REDACTED] out of his cell for a disciplinary hearing with a Sergeant. During this time, his cell was searched by the Deputies where they proceeded to "trash his cell". After the Sergeant hearing, [REDACTED] was searched by the Deputies where he was roughed up, kicked, knocked to the ground, clothing ripped and his head stepped on.		
<b>Progress of Grievance</b>	On 07-06-16, this investigation was forward to Captain Baker with a recommendation to forward it to Internal Affairs for further review.		
<b>Handled as Inmate Request</b>	No		
<b>Describe the process if Handled as Inmate Request</b>			

## Disposition

The Final Narrative field below will be seen by the inmates on their Response reports. Please do not include any confidential information in this field.

<b>Final Narrative of Grievance Disposition</b>	The matter is being investigated.		
<b>Done by HCA</b>	No	<b>Done by ISD</b>	No
<b>Date of Narrative Disposition</b>	7/6/2016	<b>Person Completing Response</b>	 <u>Jeffrey Puckett</u>
<b>Date Completed</b>	7/6/2016 11:00 AM	<b>Exhausted All Appeals On Grievance</b>	No

## Appeals

### Watch Commander Appeal

**Date W/C Appeal Received:**

**W/C Appeal Assigned**

**Watch Commander Synopsis of Grievance Appeal:**

**Watch Commander Progress of Grievance Appeal:**

**W/C Narrative of Appeals Final Disposition:**

**Facility Commander Appeal**

Date F/C Appeal  
Received:

F/C Appeal Assigned:

Facility Commander  
Synopsis of  
Grievance Appeal:

Facility Commander  
Progress of  
Grievance Appeal:


F/C Narrative of  
Appeals Final  
Disposition:

**Notes**

 Title: File Attachment

Note created on 7/6/2016 9:52 AM by Jeffrey Puckett


Edited 7/6/2016 9:52 AM by Jeffrey Puckett

 Memo.pdf (5,713,629 Byte(s))

 Title: File Attachment

Note created on 5/21/2016 11:23 PM by David Beeler


Edited 5/21/2016 11:39 PM by David Beeler


 [REDACTED] grievance #2.pdf (559,599 Byte(s))

 Title: File Attachment

Note created on 5/21/2016 11:23 PM by David Beeler


Edited 5/21/2016 11:39 PM by David Beeler

 [REDACTED] grievance #1.pdf (478,521 Byte(s))

 Title: Note created on 5/21/2016 11:23 PM by David Beeler

Note created on 5/21/2016 11:23 PM by David Beeler

Edited 5/21/2016 11:39 PM by David Beeler

 Title: Note created on 5/21/2016 11:23 PM by David Beeler

Note created on 5/21/2016 11:23 PM by David Beeler

Edited 5/21/2016 11:39 PM by David Beeler

Status

Inactive



(See Reverse  
First)

SHERIFF DEPARTMENT  
ORANGE COUNTY  
SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA

J#

15052016/0351

☐ N/A

SANDRA HUTCHENS, SHERIFF - CORONER

**QUEJA / FORMA DE APELACION DE QUEJA**

(Circle Uno)

Nombre de Detenido \_\_\_\_\_ Numero de Detenido \_\_\_\_\_

Local de Vivienda \_\_\_\_\_ Fecha \_\_\_\_\_

Fecha de Incidente \_\_\_\_\_ Hora de Incidente \_\_\_\_\_ Local de Incidente \_\_\_\_\_

¿La queja está relacionada a una incapacidad? Yes No (Circle uno)

**Detalles del incidente (Una incidente por forma. Incluye fechas, horas, y nombres de aquellos involucrados.)**

Detalles deben ser breves y estar contenidos en esta área.

I would like to file a grievance against the abusive treatment and excessive force I experienced from Deputies Castillo and Dorn on 5/12/16 at around 1330. The Deputies pulled me out of my cell at around 1330. The Deputies pulled me out of my cell for a write-up hearing and trashed my cell in retaliation and after the sergeant left they started roughhousing me and knocking me down and yelling at me for not standing and ripped my shirt up then said "That's a write-up for an altered shirt". They took my pants and shirt away and escorted me in my boxers to the bench to sit for awhile. During the trip there Deputy Dorn continued to kick my legs and knock me to the floor while ordering me to walk which I was attempting to do but could not because he was intentionally tripping me. Deputy Castillo slammed me to the floor and stepped on my head pinning me to the floor. Throughout the whole

**RESPUESTA DEL PERSONAL**

incident Deputy Dorn was cursing me calling me a "pussy" "Bitch", "punk", "piece of shit" among other things and trying to provoke me into attacking him.

Firma de Detenido \_\_\_\_\_ Fecha \_\_\_\_\_ Hora \_\_\_\_\_

Recibido Por \_\_\_\_\_ Fecha \_\_\_\_\_ Hora \_\_\_\_\_

Staff Name & PID # (Please Print)

Asignado A \_\_\_\_\_ Fecha \_\_\_\_\_

Respuesta Entregada al Detenido Por \_\_\_\_\_ Fecha \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Handled as Inmate Request

Do not write below this line. For official use only.

**Copies (J# Only)**

1. Original scanned to Grievance Program.
2. Photo copy to inmate after signing (attesting to receiving form).
3. Photo copy to inmate when returning response.
4. Original to Jail Inmate Record File

- ☐ Grievance
- ☐ Appeal Grievance
- ☐ Inmate Services
- ☐ Personnel Complaint
- ☐ Medical
- ☐ Appeal Discipline

- ☐ Intake/Release Center
- ☐ Central Men's Jail
- ☐ Central Women's Jail
- ☐ James A. Musick
- ☐ Theo Lacy Facility



SHERIFF DEPARTMENT  
ORANGE COUNTY  
SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA

☐ N/A

SANDRA HUTCHENS, SHERIFF - CORONER

GRIEVANCE / GRIEVANCE APPEAL FORM

Inmate's Name [REDACTED] (Circle One) Booking Number [REDACTED]  
Housing Location C-13 Date 5/19/16  
Date of Incident 5/12/16 Time of Incident 1520 Location of Incident C-13  
Is grievance related to a disability? Yes ☒ No ☐ (Circle one)

Details of Incident (One Incident Per Form. Include dates, times, and names of those involved.)

Details should be brief and contained in this area

I filed a grievance about the abusive treatment I received while in custody and submitted it to Deputy Shaw on 5/13/16 at 1430 and it has been over the 5 day limit provided in jail rules and I still not received any reply hearing or receipt for my grievance. I have written out a copy of the grievance on the back of this grievance. I would like to see a manager regarding the incident.

STAFF RESPONSE

Inmate Signature [REDACTED] Date 5/19/16 Time 1100  
Received By [REDACTED] Date      Time       
Assigned To      Staff Name & PID # (Please Print) Date       
Response Returned to Inmate By      Date       
☐ Handled as Inmate Request

Do not write below this line. For official use only.

Copies (JI# Only)

1. Original scanned to Grievance Program.
2. Photo copy to Inmate after signing (attesting to receiving form).
3. Photo copy to inmate when returning response.
4. Original to Jail Inmate Record File

- |                                              |                                                |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grievance           | <input type="checkbox"/> Intake/Release Center |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Grievance    | <input type="checkbox"/> Central Men's Jail    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Inmate Services     | <input type="checkbox"/> Central Women's Jail  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Personnel Complaint | <input type="checkbox"/> James A. Musick       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical             | <input type="checkbox"/> Theo Lacy Facility    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Discipline   |                                                |

**INMATE FILE**

ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT

AUTOMATED JAIL SYSTEM

JAIL DIVISION

INMATE'S ADVICE OF PUNISHMENT

NAME: [REDACTED]

BKG#: [REDACTED]

INCIDENT #: 051216/1745

HSG. LOC. MJ C 13 06 001

ON 05/14/16 AT 1235 hours, you appeared before Sergeant RUPLEY

and were granted the opportunity to present a defense for the violation(s) of which you were accused. After consideration of the evidence, the following finding was made:

Facts determined to be found.

The Disciplinary Officer has taken the following action:

ALL PRIVILEGES REVOKED FROM 07-06-16 THRU 07-15-16

LT J PUCKETT  
FACILITY MANAGER

SGT M PETERS   
DISCIPLINARY OFFICER

DATE: 05/19/16

DATE: 05/19/16

Inmates Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_



SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, ORANGE COUNTY  
Central Men's Jail

**DISCIPLINARY HEARING REPORT**

1. Copies To: Disciplinary Sergeant

2. File No.:

Jl #: MJ051216/1745

DR #:

3. Description of Violation: Failure to Obey a Directive

4. Suspect:

5. Booking Number:

6. Date/Time of Interview:

5-14-16 / 1235 hours

7. Description of Offense: I/M [REDACTED] was "fishing" for contraband on the tier after being told not to do it by Dep. Dorn and Sgt. Jurado.

8. Statement of Subject: On May 14, 2016, at about 1235 hours, I spoke to I/M [REDACTED] (BKG# [REDACTED]) while he was housed in his cell. I identified myself, explained the reason for the Disciplinary Hearing, and informed him of the allegations against him. I/M [REDACTED] stated he did fish for a bag on the tier but did not believe it was a jail rule violation. I/M [REDACTED] stated he was never told not to do it.

9. After Consideration of the Evidence, I Made the Following Finding:

☒ Facts Determined to be FOUNDED

☐ Facts Determined to be UNFOUNDED

10. Disposition and Comments: Based on the report written by Deputy Dorn, coupled with I/M [REDACTED] statement, I find [REDACTED] to be guilty of the violation.

11. Report By:  
Sgt. M. Rupley #5885

12. Date of Report:  
5-14-16

13. Approved By:  
Sgt. M. Peters #4016

ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
AUTOMATED JAIL SYSTEM  
INMATE NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY VIOLATION/HEARING

DATE: 051216  
TIME: 1759  
PAGE: 1 OF 2

FACILITY: MJ DATE: 051216 TIME OF OFFENSE: 1745 HSG: C 13 06 001  
INMATE: [REDACTED] BKN: [REDACTED]  
VIOLATION: FAILURE TO OBEY A DIRECTIVE

Next Court/Release Date: 5/27/16 Work Time: 0 Good Time: 0

Number of previous Jail Rules violations: Minor: 7 Major: 12

1. You are hereby notified that a complaint has been filed against you alleging violation(s) of the Jail Rule(s) shown above. Facility policy mandates a hearing be held on these charges during which you may relate the facts as you see them and call witnesses or interpreters for your defense. The hearing will take place 24 hours after your receipt of this notice. You may waive this 24 hour delay and the hearing will take place as soon as possible after your receipt of this notice.

I choose to waive the 24 hour delay for my hearing. \_\_\_\_\_ Inmate initials

24 hour delay: Begins (Date/Time) 5-17-16 / 1800 Ends 5-13-16 / 1800

72 hour exp: Begins (Date/Time) 5-12-16 / 1800 Ends 5-15-16 / 1800

2. Should you be found guilty of this violation(s), the punishment could be one or a combination of the following: loss of privileges, loss of good and/or work time, or disciplinary isolation.
3. You will be allowed to possess the following should you be placed into disciplinary isolation: (1) Writing materials, (2) Regular bedding and towel, (3) Reading material provided by jail recreation director, (4) Personal and legal mail. (5) Personal hygiene articles including one each comb, razor, toothbrush and soap. Upon notification, deputies will provide you with a new supply of writing materials and allowable hygiene items if you run out. Use of the telephone will be provided to contact the courts, your attorney or for personal emergencies.
4. You have the right to the following on major disciplinary actions:
- ☒ Written copy of the notice of disciplinary violation at least 24 hours prior to your hearing.
  - ☒ To call witnesses, or present written statements of unavailable witnesses, and present documentary evidence on your behalf.
  - ☒ To present a statement or remain silent. Your silence may be used to draw an adverse inference against you. Your silence alone may not be used to support a finding that you committed a prohibited act(s).
  - ☒ To be present throughout the hearing, except during deliberation and to know the hearing officer's decision.
  - ☒ To appeal the hearing officer's decision, within 14 days after receiving notice of discipline imposed, to the Facility Commander.

ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
AUTOMATED JAIL SYSTEM  
INMATE NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY VIOLATION/HEARING

DATE: 051216  
TIME: 1759  
PAGE: 2 OF 2

FACILITY: MJ DATE: 051216 TIME OF OFFENSE: 1745 HSG: C 13 06 001  
INMATE: [REDACTED] BKN: [REDACTED]  
VIOLATION: FAILURE TO OBEY A DIRECTIVE

5. Do you wish to call witnesses?: ( ☒ ) Yes ( ) No

Witness: [REDACTED] Bkg# [REDACTED]

Will testify to: \_\_\_\_\_

Witness: \_\_\_\_\_ Bkg# \_\_\_\_\_

Will testify to: \_\_\_\_\_

This is not an admission of guilt or involvement. Your signature merely acknowledges you have been advised of your rights, as shown above, and received a copy of the inmate notice of disciplinary violation/hearing.

Inmate's Signature: [REDACTED]

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Employee Signature: J. DORW #8783

Date: 5-12-16

Time the Notice of Rights was given to the inmate: \_\_\_\_\_

Time: 1800

1. Copies to:  
Records

SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, ORANGE COUNTY  
Santa Ana, California  
CENTRAL JAILS COMPLEX  
JAIL INCIDENT REPORT

2. J.I. # MJ051216/1745  
2.a. DR #

II ORIGINAL

3. Offense Failure to obey a directive		4. Location of Occurrence Module C, Tank 13, Cell 6		
5. Victim Deputy J. Dorn #8783		6. Bkg. No.	7. Date / Time of Occurrence 05-12-16 / 1745 Hours	
8. Suspect [REDACTED]		9. Bkg No.	10. S/E- Court Date S/E- 05-27-16	11. Good Time    Work Time 0                      0
12. Suspect N/A		13. Bkg No. N/A	14. S/E - Court Date N/A	15. Good Time    Work Time N/A                N/A

On 5/13/16, I was working my assigned position as a Module "C" Deputy at the Central Men's Jail. At about 1745 hours, from the Module "C" office, I observed an inmate, later identified as [REDACTED] (BKG# [REDACTED]), throwing his towel out of his cell and bringing it back in. I conducted a corridor safety check and observed [REDACTED] trying to reach for contraband that was taken out of his cell earlier in the day. Early in the day, about 1430 hours, Sergeant R. Jurado #783 and I explained to [REDACTED] that he could not fish or pass items to other cells. Sergeant Jurado told [REDACTED] he would be written up on a Major Jail Rule Violation for Failure to Obey a Directive if he fished or passed anything from his cell.

16. REPORT BY Deputy J. Dorn #8783	17. DATE OF REPORT 5/12/16	18. APPROVED [Signature] #696
---------------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------

# INMATE FILE

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  
ORANGE COUNTY  
CENTRAL JAIL COMPLEX

## INMATE'S ADVISEMENT OF PUNISHMENT - DISCIPLINARY ISOLATION

FACILITY: CENTRAL MENS JAIL

INCIDENT #: 051216/1330

NAME: [REDACTED]

BKG #: [REDACTED] HSG LOC: C 13

On 051416 at 1235 hours, you appeared before Sgt. RUPLEY and were granted the opportunity to present a defense for the violation(s) of which you were accused. After consideration of the evidence, the Disciplinary Officer has determined the facts to be founded and has assigned you 20 days in Disciplinary Isolation: FROM 060416 TO 062316

LT J PUCKETT *JP*

SGT M PETER *MP*

Facility Manager

Disciplinary Officer

Date: 051916

Date: 051916

While housed in Disciplinary Isolation, you will be allowed to possess the following:

1. Disciplinary Isolation Welfare Pack consisting of :
  - a. Writing Material - stationery, envelopes, pencil, Message Slips
  - b. Personal hygiene items - soap, comb, toothpaste, toothbrush
2. Regular bedding and towel
3. Reading material - provided by the Recreation Director
4. Mail - personal and legal

Telephone use will be made available on request to contact the courts, your attorney, and for personal emergencies. Appeal of this punishment must be made within 14 days.

Inmates Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, ORANGE COUNTY  
Central Men's Jail

**DISCIPLINARY HEARING REPORT**

1. Copies To: Disciplinary Sergeant

2. File No.:

JI #: MJ051216/1330

DR #:

3. Description of Violation: Habitual Jail Rule Violator / Disrespect to Staff

4. Suspect:

5. Booking Number:

6. Date/Time of Interview:

5-14-16 / 1235 hours

7. Description of Offense: I/M [REDACTED] shouted, "Fuck you Dorn and your majors" to Deputy Dorn because he was upset for getting written up. [REDACTED] cell was then searched and two trash bags with pruno residue, extra jail issue, contraband, ratlines, and jail issued canvas shoes were located. When I/M [REDACTED] was confronted about the contraband in his cell, he replied to Deputy Dorn, "Do you feel good about yourself you cocksucker?". I/M [REDACTED] later called Deputy Dorn a "fucking pig" as well.

8. Statement of Subject: On May 14, 2016, at about 1235 hours, I spoke to I/M [REDACTED] (BKG [REDACTED]) while he was housed in his cell. I identified myself, explained the reason for the Disciplinary Hearing, and informed him of the allegations against him. I read [REDACTED] the above mentioned statement and asked him if he said it to Deputy Dorn. I/M [REDACTED] stated he did say them because he was upset for getting written up and feels like he is getting singled out. I/M [REDACTED] stated he did have the above listed contraband in his cell as well.

9. After Consideration of the Evidence, I Made the Following Finding:

☒ Facts Determined to be FOUNDED

☐ Facts Determined to be UNFOUNDED

10. Disposition and Comments: Based on the report written by Deputy Dorn, coupled with I/M [REDACTED] statement, I find [REDACTED] to be guilty of the violation.

11. Report By:  
Sgt. M. Rupley #5885

12. Date of Report:  
5-14-16

13. Approved By:  
Sgt. M. Peters #4016

ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
AUTOMATED JAIL SYSTEM  
INMATE NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY VIOLATION/HEARING

DATE: 051216  
TIME: 1736  
PAGE: 1 OF 2

FACILITY: MI DATE: 051216 TIME OF OFFENSE: 1330 HSG: C 13 06 001  
INMATE: [REDACTED] BKN: [REDACTED]  
VIOLATION: HABITUAL JAIL RULE VIOLATOR

~~Next Court~~/Release Date: 5/27/16 Work Time: 0 Good Time: 0

Number of previous Jail Rules violations: Minor: 7 Major: 11

1. You are hereby notified that a complaint has been filed against you alleging violation(s) of the Jail Rule(s) shown above. Facility policy mandates a hearing be held on these charges during which you may relate the facts as you see them and call witnesses or interpreters for your defense. The hearing will take place 24 hours after your receipt of this notice. You may waive this 24 hour delay and the hearing will take place as soon as possible after your receipt of this notice.

I choose to waive the 24 hour delay for my hearing. \_\_\_\_\_ Inmate initials

24 hour delay: Begins (Date/Time) 5-12-16 / 1800 Ends 5-13-16 / 1800

72 hour exp: Begins (Date/Time) 5-12-16 / 1800 Ends 5-15-16 / 1800

2. Should you be found guilty of this violation(s), the punishment could be one or a combination of the following: loss of privileges, loss of good and/or work time, or disciplinary isolation.
3. You will be allowed to possess the following should you be placed into disciplinary isolation: (1) Writing materials, (2) Regular bedding and towel, (3) Reading material provided by jail recreation director, (4) Personal and legal mail. (5) Personal hygiene articles including one each comb, razor, toothbrush and soap. Upon notification, deputies will provide you with a new supply of writing materials and allowable hygiene items if you run out. Use of the telephone will be provided to contact the courts, your attorney or for personal emergencies.
4. You have the right to the following on major disciplinary actions:
- (☒) Written copy of the notice of disciplinary violation at least 24 hours prior to your hearing.
  - (☒) To call witnesses, or present written statements of unavailable witnesses, and present documentary evidence on your behalf.
  - (☒) To present a statement or remain silent. Your silence may be used to draw an adverse inference against you. Your silence alone may not be used to support a finding that you committed a prohibited act(s).
  - (☒) To be present throughout the hearing, except during deliberation and to know the hearing officer's decision.
  - (☒) To appeal the hearing officer's decision, within 14 days after receiving notice of discipline imposed, to the Facility Commander.

ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
AUTOMATED JAIL SYSTEM  
INMATE NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY VIOLATION/HEARING

DATE: 051216  
TIME: 1736  
PAGE: 2 OF 2

FACILITY: MJ DATE: 051216 TIME OF OFFENSE: 1330 HSG: C 13 06 001  
INMATE: [REDACTED] BKN: [REDACTED]  
VIOLATION: HABITUAL JAIL RULE VIOLATOR

5. Do you wish to call witnesses?: ( ) Yes ( ) No

Witness: \_\_\_\_\_ Bkg# \_\_\_\_\_

Will testify to: \_\_\_\_\_

Witness: \_\_\_\_\_ Bkg# \_\_\_\_\_

Will testify to: \_\_\_\_\_

This is not an admission of guilt or involvement. Your signature merely acknowledges you have been advised of your rights, as shown above, and received a copy of the inmate notice of disciplinary violation/hearing.

Inmate's Signature: [REDACTED] Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Employee Signature: J. DORN 8183 Date: 5-12-16

Time the Notice of Rights was given to the inmate: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: 1800



**II ORIGINAL**

3. Offense Habitual Jail Rule Violator/Disrespect Staff/Poss Contraband		4. Location of Occurrence Module C, Tank 13, Cell 6	
5. Victim Deputy J. Dorn #8783		6. Bkg. No.	7. Date / Time of Occurrence 05-12-16 / 1330 Hours
8. Suspect [REDACTED]		9. Bkg No. [REDACTED]	10. S/E - Court Date S/E - 05-27-16
12. Suspect N/A		13. Bkg No. N/A	14. S/E - Court Date N/A
		11. Good Time 0	Work Time 0
		15. Good Time N/A	Work Time N/A

On 5/12/16, I was working my assigned position as a Module "C" Deputy at the Central Men's Jail. At about 1330 hours, I was conducting a safety check in Module "C" Tanks #13 and #14. While I was in the corridor I heard an inmate, later identified as [REDACTED] (BKG# [REDACTED]) shout, "Fuck you Dorn and your majors." I immediately went into Tank #13 and asked who yelled that. No inmates answered up. I completed my safety check and about ten minutes later I heard a number of inmates say that Cell #6 downstairs (Tank #13) wanted to talk to me. Inmate [REDACTED] in housed in Tank #13 Cell #6. I had [REDACTED] come out to the vestibule so I could talk to him. [REDACTED] told me the following:

[REDACTED] admitted to yelling at me and using profanity because he was upset about being written up a day prior (5/11/16). He stated he had a problem with all the jail rules being enforced and that it was not right that I wrote up two inmates early in the day for fishing.

I noticed [REDACTED] had modified his jail issued clothing by ripping the bottom of the pant legs. [REDACTED] also modified his jail issued wristband. I asked [REDACTED] if he had any contraband on him and he stated, "No." I had [REDACTED] turn and face the wall. I told [REDACTED] to spread his feet apart and he spread them a couple inches. I told [REDACTED] to spread his feet further and he did not. I placed [REDACTED] in handcuff for my safety. I completed my search of him without further incident. I told [REDACTED] to sit on the ground, and let him know that he would be written up on a Major Jail Rule Violation for Disrespect to Staff. I told him to stay seated.

I searched [REDACTED] cell and found the following: two trash bags with pruno residue, extra jail issue, contraband, an excessive number of books that did not belong to him, ratlines, and jail issued canvas shoes without a medical form.

I returned to [REDACTED] and informed him he would be written up on his jail rule violations. [REDACTED] said, "Do you feel good about yourself you cocksucker?" I asked [REDACTED] what he said and he replied, "You heard me cocksucker, you fucking pig." I had [REDACTED] stand up and I removed his modified pants and an extra pair of boxers he was wearing. I notified Sergeant R. Jurado #783 of the incident and [REDACTED] was escorted to the third floor bench where he was placed until I was able to get him an unmodified pair of pants. Shortly after, [REDACTED] was placed back in his cell without further incident.

Sergeant R. Jurado #783 was notified.

16. REPORT BY Deputy J. Dorn #8783	17. DATE OF REPORT 5/12/16	18. APPROVED Sgt. R. Jurado #783
---------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Case #	ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT	POBOR DEADLINE
16-083		5/12/2017

### PERSONNEL INVESTIGATION TRACKING CHECKLIST

The investigation for the above-referenced case is now complete and ready for assessment.

Distributed by PSD to Central Men's Jail 3/10/2017  
 Division DATE

Within your Division, please assess the file from the perspective of individual accountability as well as training, policy, and systems issues.

\* PSD supervisors have reviewed the case. You should consider them a potential resource for a variety of questions and concerns as you proceed.

\* For those cases where the discipline exposure is 24 hours or greater, please consult with the *Constitutional Policing Advisor* (714-834-3381)

### DIVISION TRACKING

Initial Review by: Captain C. Coppock 3/29/17  
 Lieutenant or Manager DATE  
~~of contacted PSD Captain~~  
~~Constitutional Policing Advisor consulted:~~ yes no  
 (Circle One) 3/29/17  
 DATE

### DIVISION REVIEW

Division Review by: Captain C. Coppock DATE  
 DIVISION COMMANDER  
☐ Disposition is *Unfounded* or *Not Sustained*  
 or  
☒ Disposition within Division Authority (Written Reprimand - 24 Hours)  
 or  
☒ Recommended discipline exceeds Division Authority; Referred to: Commander Briggs  
 COMMAND

### EXECUTIVE COMMAND REVIEW (If applicable)

Executive Command Review by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### PROCESSING

Returned to PSD for processing: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date  
 Employee Notification: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

### Notes



**SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
COUNTY OF ORANGE  
CALIFORNIA**

**SANDRA HUTCHENS  
SHERIFF-CORONER**

**P.I. #16-083**

**MIRANDA WARNING / LYBARGER ADMONISHMENT**

*Due to the nature of this administrative investigation, Government Code Section 3303(h) requires me to advise you of your rights. Therefore it is important that you understand that criminally:*

- *You have the right to remain silent. (Do you understand?)*
- *Anything you say may be used against you in court. (Do you understand?)*
- *You have the right to an attorney before and during any questioning. (Do you understand?)*
- *If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed for you before questioning. (Do you understand?)*

**MIRANDA WAIVER**

Waiver: With these rights in mind, would you like to speak to me? YES

**NO**

***I have read and acknowledge the above admonition and fully understand my Constitutional/Miranda Rights.***

**Employee Initials** (SD)

**LYBARGER WARNING**

*Deputy Justin Dorn #8783, because you have chosen to invoke your rights under Miranda, and according to the Lybarger v- Los Angeles decision, I must advise you that the interview at this point will be administrative, and no part of this interview or information that is derived from this interview may be used in a criminal investigation. However, at the same time, since this is administrative, I must remind you that you must answer the questions and, should you refuse to answer any of the questions, that at some future date you may be charged with insubordination.*

***I have read and acknowledged the above Lybarger warning. I fully understand I am being compelled to answer any and all questions. Any refusal to do so will be considered insubordination, resulting in discipline up to and including termination.***

**Employee Initials** (SD)

  
Deputy Justin Dorn #8783

11-4-16

Date

C-TAYLOR #4521

Sergeant Chad Taylor

11-4-16

Date

320 N. FLOWER STREET, SANTA ANA, CA 92703 (714) 834-5100

*Integrity without compromise, Service above self, Professionalism in the performance of duty,  
Vigilance in safeguarding our community*



**SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
COUNTY OF ORANGE  
CALIFORNIA**

**SANDRA HUTCHENS  
SHERIFF-CORONER**

**P.I. #16-083**

**CONFIDENTIALITY DIRECTIVE**

**Deputy Justin Dorn #8783**, you are hereby ordered not to discuss this case (or any case in which you are a witness or a principal), using any form of communication, with anyone other than your employee representative, Internal Affairs Sergeants or specific parties that may be designated by Internal Affairs.

For the purpose of this directive, the Internal Affairs Sergeant presenting this directive is your superior officer. Any violation of this directive may be considered a violation of Orange County Sheriff-Coroner Department Policy 1018.4 and subject you to possible discipline, up to and including dismissal.

**OCSD Policy 1018.4 Insubordination**

Members shall not be insubordinate. Intentional failure or refusal by any member of the department to obey a lawful order given by a superior officer shall be insubordination.

***I have read and acknowledged the above admonition. I fully understand that I am required to make full, complete and truthful statements. Any refusal to do so will be considered insubordination, resulting in discipline up to and including termination.***

Deputy Justin Dorn #8783

11-4-16

Date

C-TAYLOR #4521

Sergeant Chad Taylor

11-4-16

Date



**SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
COUNTY OF ORANGE  
CALIFORNIA**

**SANDRA HUTCHENS  
SHERIFF-CORONER**

**P.I. #16-083**

**PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER PROCEDURAL BILL OF RIGHTS (POBOR)**

You are being advised that your rights are fully outlined in the Public Safety Officers Procedural Bill of Rights Act, Government Code 3300-3311. Your rights include:

- 3303(b)      You have the right to know who will be conducting the interview**
- 3303(c)      You have the right to know the nature of the investigation prior to the interview**
- 3303(i)      You have the right to have a representative of your choice present during the interview**
- 3303(g)      You have the right to record this interview with your own recorder**
- 3303(g)      Should it become necessary to interview you a second time reference this investigation, a copy of this interview will be made available to you prior to the second interview.**

*I have read and acknowledged the above advisement. I fully understand the above listed rights will be afforded me during this interview.*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Deputy Justin Dorn #8783

11-4-16  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**C. TAYLOR #4521**  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sergeant Chad Taylor

11-4-16  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

320 N. FLOWER STREET, SANTA ANA, CA 92703 (714) 834-5100

*Integrity without compromise, Service above self, Professionalism in the performance of duty,  
Vigilance in safeguarding our community*



**SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
COUNTY OF ORANGE  
CALIFORNIA**

**SANDRA HUTCHENS  
SHERIFF-CORONER**

**P.I. #16-083**

**CONFIDENTIALITY DIRECTIVE**

**Deputy Daniel Castillo #9110**, you are hereby ordered not to discuss this case (or any case in which you are a witness or a principal), using any form of communication, with anyone other than your employee representative, Internal Affairs Sergeants or specific parties that may be designated by Internal Affairs.

For the purpose of this directive, the Internal Affairs Sergeant presenting this directive is your superior officer. Any violation of this directive may be considered a violation of Orange County Sheriff-Coroner Department Policy 1018.4 and subject you to possible discipline, up to and including dismissal.

**OCSD Policy 1018.4 Insubordination**

Members shall not be insubordinate. Intentional failure or refusal by any member of the department to obey a lawful order given by a superior officer shall be insubordination.

***I have read and acknowledged the above admonition. I fully understand that I am required to make full, complete and truthful statements. Any refusal to do so will be considered insubordination, resulting in discipline up to and including termination.***

Deputy Daniel Castillo #9110

10-10-16  
Date

C. TAYLOR #4521

Sergeant Chad Taylor

10-10-16  
Date



**SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
COUNTY OF ORANGE  
CALIFORNIA**

**SANDRA HUTCHENS  
SHERIFF-CORONER**

**P.I. #16-083**

**PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER PROCEDURAL BILL OF RIGHTS (POBOR)**

You are being advised that your rights are fully outlined in the Public Safety Officers Procedural Bill of Rights Act, Government Code 3300-3311. Your rights include:

- 3303(b)      You have the right to know who will be conducting the interview**
- 3303(c)      You have the right to know the nature of the investigation prior to the interview**
- 3303(i)      You have the right to have a representative of your choice present during the interview**
- 3303(g)      You have the right to record this interview with your own recorder**
- 3303(g)      Should it become necessary to interview you a second time reference this investigation, a copy of this interview will be made available to you prior to the second interview.**

*I have read and acknowledged the above advisement. I fully understand the above listed rights will be afforded me during this interview.*

Deputy Daniel Castillo #9110

10-10-16

Date

C. TAYLOR #4521

Sergeant Chad Taylor

10-10-16

Date

320 N. FLOWER STREET, SANTA ANA, CA 92703 (714) 834-5100

*Integrity without compromise. Service above self. Professionalism in the performance of duty.  
Vigilance in safeguarding our community*



**SHERIFF-CORONER DEPARTMENT  
COUNTY OF ORANGE  
CALIFORNIA**

**SANDRA HUTCHENS  
SHERIFF-CORONER**

**P.I. #16-083**

**MIRANDA WARNING / LYBARGER ADMONISHMENT**

*Due to the nature of this administrative investigation, Government Code Section 3303(h) requires me to advise you of your rights. Therefore it is important that you understand that criminally:*

- You have the right to remain silent. (Do you understand?)
- Anything you say may be used against you in court. (Do you understand?)
- You have the right to an attorney before and during any questioning. (Do you understand?)
- If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed for you before questioning. (Do you understand?)

**MIRANDA WAIVER**

Waiver: With these rights in mind, would you like to speak to me? YES

**NO**

*I have read and acknowledge the above admonition and fully understand my Constitutional/Miranda Rights.*

Employee Initials (DL)

**LYBARGER WARNING**

*Deputy Daniel Castillo #9110, because you have chosen to invoke your rights under Miranda, and according to the Lybarger v- Los Angeles decision, I must advise you that the interview at this point will be administrative, and no part of this interview or information that is derived from this interview may be used in a criminal investigation. However, at the same time, since this is administrative, I must remind you that you must answer the questions and, should you refuse to answer any of the questions, that at some future date you may be charged with insubordination.*

*I have read and acknowledged the above Lybarger warning. I fully understand I am being compelled to answer any and all questions. Any refusal to do so will be considered insubordination, resulting in discipline up to and including termination.*

Employee Initials (DL)

  
Deputy Daniel Castillo #9110

10-10-16  
Date


C. TAYLOR #4521  
Sergeant Chad Taylor

10-10-16  
Date

320 N. FLOWER STREET, SANTA ANA, CA 92703 (714) 834-5100

*Integrity without compromise, Service above self, Professionalism in the performance of duty,  
Vigilance in safeguarding our community*





# DORN, JUSTIN (8783)

Rank: DEPUTY SHERIFF I  
Bureau: Men's Jail (sworn A-J)  
Division: CENTRAL JAIL DIVISION  
Hire Date: 12/5/2011

Approved Date: 10/19/2012 3:59:01

Print

Cat Date RefNo Description

✓ STC Total: 32

✓ TTC Total: 7

✓ AOT Total: 9

Perishables/Mandatory

Status

PSP Firearms Custody / Waived

PSP Firearms Custody / Waived

PSP ACT Custody / Waived

PSP Tact comm Custody / Waived

PSP Driving Custody / Waived

CPR Expired

Disputed History

Thresholds

Disp. De T Date T Type T

Report Missing Items

## CASE MANAGEMENT NOTES

**CASE # 16-083**

[illegible]



# CASTILLO, DANIEL (9110)

Rank: DEPUTY SHERIFF I  
Bureau: Men's Jail (sworn A-J)  
Division: CENTRAL JAIL DIVISION  
Hire Date: 8/20/2012

Approved Date: 6/19/2014 9:44:58 AM [Print Detail](#)

[Print](#)

Cat Date RefNo Description

✓ TTC	Total: 6		
✓ STC	Total: 21		
✓ AOT	Total: 5		
✓ WC	Total: 1		

Perishables/Mandatory	Status
PSP Firearms	Custody / Waived
PSP ACT	Custody / Waived
PSP Tact comm	Custody / Waived
PSP Driving	Custody / Waived
CPR	Expires by 2/2/2017

Disputed History

Thresholds

Disp. D:	Date	Type

[Report Missing Items](#)